

 **Global Journal of Arts Humanity and Social Sciences**
ISSN: 2583-2034
Abbreviated key title: Glob.J.Arts.Humanit.Soc.Sci
Frequency: Monthly
Published By GSAR Publishers
Journal Homepage Link: <https://gsarpublishers.com/journal-gjahss-home/>



Volume - 5 | Issue - 11 | November 2025 | Total pages 1162-1168 | DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17709599

Internal Auditing Practices in Enhancing Organizational Performance. A Case of Mumangi Construction Company Limited at Ilemela, Mwanza Tanzania

By

Idarn Amos¹ Dr. Kaihula P. Bishagazi² & Sr. Dr Deusdedita Lutego³

¹Department of Accounting and Finance, Saint Augustine University of Tanzania (SAUT).

²Senior Lecturer, Saint Augustine University of Tanzania (SAUT).

³Senior Lecturer, Saint Augustine University of Tanzania (SAUT).



Article History

Received: 15- 11- 2025

Accepted: 20- 11- 2025

Published: 24- 11- 2025

Corresponding author

Idarn Amos

Abstract

Purpose: This research examines how internal auditing practices influence organizational performance at Mumangi Construction Company Limited in Mwanza, Tanzania. The study focuses on three core components of internal auditing; audit planning, risk assessment, and reporting quality and assesses their contribution to financial, operational, and efficiency performance within the firm.

Design/Methodology/Approach: A cross-sectional research design was employed with a sample size of 142 respondents drawn from different departments within the organization. Data were collected through structured questionnaires and interviews. Descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression analysis were used to examine the relationship between internal auditing practices and organizational performance.

Findings: The study found that internal auditing practices at Mumangi Construction are weak and inconsistently implemented. Audit planning lacks clear objectives and realistic timelines, risk assessment is reactive rather than proactive, and audit reports rarely lead to corrective action. As a result, internal auditing has a limited impact on performance, despite its theoretical role in improving accountability, financial control, and efficiency.

Originality: This study contributes new evidence from the Tanzanian construction sector, where limited research exists on the practical application of internal auditing. It highlights the gap between established auditing principles and their implementation in developing economies, offering insights for both academic and practical improvement.

Practical Implications: The findings provide guidance for management, auditors, and policymakers. Strengthening audit planning, adopting systematic risk assessment processes, and improving reporting quality can enhance performance outcomes. Investment in auditor training and stronger management support is critical for transforming audit results into meaningful organizational improvements.

Social Implications: Enhancing internal auditing promotes transparency, resource accountability, and public trust within the construction sector. Better audit practices can reduce financial mismanagement and support long-term economic and organizational sustainability.

Keywords: Internal auditing, audit planning, risk assessment, reporting quality, and organizational performance.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Internal auditing is widely recognized as a key pillar of sound governance, effective risk management, and strong internal control systems. Beyond ensuring compliance, it plays a vital role in promoting transparency, improving decision-making, and safeguarding organizational resources. In sectors that face high levels

of financial and operational uncertainty such as construction, internal auditing helps organizations detect inefficiencies, identify financial irregularities, and ensure adherence to policies and regulations. As Prawitt, Sharp, and Wood (2021) observe, effective internal auditing strengthens financial accountability and reduces the likelihood of misreporting, improving confidence among stakeholders.

However, the implementation of strong internal auditing systems varies



significantly across countries. Developed nations benefit from advanced technology, sufficient financial resources, and a well-trained audit workforce, all of which support effective audit processes. In contrast, developing countries often struggle with limited technical capacity, inadequate resources, and weak regulatory enforcement. Lenz and Hahn (2015) note that although the importance of internal auditing is widely understood, practical application in many developing regions is constrained by systemic barriers. These challenges are particularly evident in Africa, where incomplete audit structures, weak oversight, and inadequate professional training can leave organizations vulnerable to inefficiencies and fraud. Adams (1994) further emphasizes that such weaknesses create agency problems, as managers may misuse resources when proper internal controls are lacking.

Tanzania reflects these broader challenges. While internal auditing is increasingly recognized as an important tool for enhancing organizational performance, its full effectiveness is often restricted by resource shortages, limited expertise, and low management support. The construction sector is especially affected, as companies face rising material costs, shortages of skilled labor, and changing regulatory requirements. In this environment, strong auditing has the potential to improve operational performance, financial control, and overall accountability, yet many firms are unable to realize these benefits consistently.

This study focuses on Mumangi Construction Company Limited, a Class 6 building contractor operating in Ilmela, Mwanza. The company illustrates the difficulties common in the sector: fluctuating costs, constrained human resources, and inconsistent implementation of audit recommendations. Despite the potential of internal auditing to address these challenges, the company experiences weak audit planning, limited risk assessment, and reporting practices that rarely lead to corrective action.

The main purpose of this study is to examine how internal auditing practices specifically audit planning, risk assessment, and reporting quality affect organizational performance at Mumangi Construction Company Limited. The research is guided by agency theory, which explains how auditing helps reduce information asymmetry between managers and owners and encourages decisions that align with organizational goals. Although many global studies support the effectiveness of internal auditing, empirical evidence from Tanzanian private construction firms remains limited. This study contributes to that gap by offering practical insights into how internal auditing influences financial, operational, and efficiency outcomes.

1.1 Definition of Key Terms

1.1.1 Internal Auditing

Internal auditing is an independent and objective activity that improves organizational operations by evaluating the effectiveness of risk management, internal controls, and governance processes (Institute of Internal Auditors, 2020). In this study, it is viewed as a key driver of better governance, operational efficiency, and organizational performance in the construction sector.

1.1.2 Audit Planning

Audit planning is the systematic organization of audit activities, including defining the audit scope, identifying risks, and allocating resources where they are most needed (Prawitt, Sharp & Wood, 2021). This study examines how proper planning supports financial performance and efficient resource utilization.

1.1.3 Risk Assessment

Risk assessment involves identifying and analyzing risks that may prevent an organization from meeting its objectives (Lenz & Hahn, 2015). The study focuses on how effective risk assessment strengthens compliance and improves performance.

1.1.4 Reporting Quality

Reporting quality refers to producing accurate, complete, and useful audit reports that guide decision-making and provide actionable recommendations (Adams, 1994). The study evaluates how clear and reliable audit reports influence process improvement and organizational effectiveness.

1.1.5 Organizational Performance

Organizational performance reflects how well an organization achieves financial, operational, and efficiency goals (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). In this study, performance is assessed using financial indicators such as ROI, ROA, and profit margins; operational indicators such as TQM metrics and cycle time; and efficiency indicators including KPIs, cost-benefit analysis, and productivity ratios. The study considers how internal auditing supports improvement across these areas, particularly within the construction sector.

2.0 THEORETICAL UNDERPINNING

2.1 Agency Theory

This study is grounded in Agency Theory, which explains the relationship between owners of an organization (principals) and the managers entrusted with day-to-day decision-making (agents). According to Jensen and Meckling (1976), agency problems arise when managers pursue personal interests that may conflict with the goals of owners or stakeholders. These conflicts are often fueled by information gaps, weak supervision, or inadequate control systems, making organizations vulnerable to mismanagement, fraud, and ineffective use of resources.

Internal auditing is a key mechanism for mitigating agency problems. By providing independent and objective assurance, internal auditors help ensure that managers act in the best interest of the organization. Through audit planning, risk assessment, and effective reporting, internal auditing strengthens transparency, supports compliance with policies, and promotes accountability. When audit findings are accurate and clearly reported, management is compelled to take corrective action, reducing opportunities for misuse of resources and improving overall performance.

In the context of construction companies, where projects involve large financial outlays, material management, contract supervision, and regulatory compliance the potential for agency problems is high. Weak oversight can lead to budget overruns, poor quality work, or

misuse of company assets. Applying Agency Theory in this study provides a framework for understanding how internal auditing practices at Mumangi Construction Company Limited help align managerial actions with organizational objectives. Effective internal auditing reduces information asymmetry, improves decision-making, and ultimately enhances financial, operational, and efficiency performance.

2.2 Assumptions of Agency Theory

2.2.1 Divergent Interests

Agency theory assumes that principals and agents do not always pursue the same goals, which creates the potential for conflict within an organization. Jensen and Meckling (1976) explain that while owners seek higher profitability and long-term organizational sustainability, managers may prioritize personal interests such as job security, short-term gains, or convenience in decision-making. Eisenhardt (1989) adds that because managers control day-to-day operations, they may take actions that do not fully align with shareholder expectations unless proper monitoring systems exist. Therefore, agency theory assumes that goal misalignment is natural, and organizations must establish mechanisms to reduce these conflicts.

2.2.2 Information Asymmetry

A second core assumption of agency theory is that agents possess more information about organizational activities than principals, creating information asymmetry. Fama and Jensen (1983) state that managers have superior access to operational and financial details, giving them the advantage to manipulate information or conceal inefficiencies. Adams (1994) emphasizes that this information gap increases the risk of poor decision-making, hidden losses, and undetected irregularities. Because principals cannot directly observe every managerial action, the theory assumes that reliable internal controls and independent reporting mechanisms are necessary to balance information access.

2.2.3 Self-Interest and Opportunistic Behavior

Agency theory also assumes that managers are rational and may act in their own self-interest if not properly supervised. Jensen and Meckling (1976) argue that because agents seek to maximize personal benefits, they might misuse resources, avoid compliance, or engage in unethical behavior when accountability mechanisms are weak. Eisenhardt (1989) supports this by explaining that human behavior in organizations is opportunistic, meaning managers may take advantage of situations when oversight is limited. As a result, agency theory assumes that organizations must implement systems that detect and discourage opportunistic behavior.

2.2.4 The Cost of Monitoring and Control

Lastly, agency theory assumes that monitoring managerial actions requires investment, which is known as agency cost. Fama and Jensen (1983) note that organizations must spend resources on audits, internal controls, and reporting structures to reduce managerial misconduct and protect owners' interests. Dibia and Onwuchekwa (2023) add that the cost of monitoring is justified because the benefits gained such as reduced fraud risk, stronger financial performance, and improved transparency outweigh the resources spent. Therefore, the theory assumes that governance systems carry

a cost, but these costs are essential for effective organizational control.

2.3 Application of Agency Theory

2.3.1 Internal Auditing as a Governance Mechanism

In this study, agency theory is applied to show how internal auditing functions as a governance tool that aligns management decisions with shareholder interests. Adams (1994) states that internal auditors act as monitors who evaluate whether managers are using organizational resources responsibly. The research links this directly to the construction environment, demonstrating that audit planning, risk assessment, and reporting quality help ensure that managers at Mumangi Construction Company Limited operate in ways that support organizational goals, reduce losses, and strengthen accountability.

2.3.2 Reducing Information Asymmetry

The theory is also applied to explain how internal auditing reduces information asymmetry between owners and managers. Jensen and Meckling (1976) argue that independent reporting reduces the information advantage managers hold. In the case of Mumangi Construction Company Limited, internal audit reports provide transparent and verifiable information about operational weaknesses, regulatory compliance, and risk exposure. This gives principals accurate insight into daily operations and minimizes the chances of hidden inefficiencies, false reporting, or mismanagement.

2.3.3 Preventing Opportunistic Behavior and Fraud

Agency theory further applies by showing how auditing discourages opportunistic behavior caused by self-interest. Eisenhardt (1989) explains that regular monitoring reduces opportunities for unethical conduct. The study notes that weak internal auditing exposes the company to fraud, resource misuse, and operational losses (Njeza et al., 2023). By strengthening audit processes, Mumangi Construction Company can limit opportunities for manipulation and ensure managers maintain ethical and responsible behavior.

2.3.4 Improving Performance through Accountability

The study uses agency theory to demonstrate that strong auditing enhances performance by improving accountability. Prawitt, Sharp, and Wood (2021) emphasize that when managers know their actions are monitored, they are more likely to comply with policies, follow procedures, and implement efficient practices. At Mumangi Construction Company, effective audit planning, thorough risk assessments, and high-quality reporting contribute to better resource utilization, reduced project delays, and improved financial results showing a direct link between monitoring and performance.

3.0 METHODS AND MATERIALS

This chapter outlines the methods and materials used to achieve the objectives of the study on the importance of internal auditing practices in enhancing organizational performance. It explains the research philosophy, study design, population, sample size, data collection procedures, and data analysis techniques. The methodology ensures that the findings are reliable, valid, and capable of answering the study's research questions.

3.1 Research Philosophy

The study adopts a pragmatic research philosophy, which emphasizes the use of practical and applicable research approaches to address real-world organizational problems. Pragmatism integrates both quantitative and qualitative methods, acknowledging that each provides distinct but complementary insights (Morgan, 2018). In this study, the quantitative aspect examines measurable relationships between audit planning, risk assessment, reporting quality, and organizational performance. Meanwhile, the qualitative approach captures perceptions and lived experiences of respondents within a resource-constrained construction environment, as supported by Collis and Hussey (2021). By combining structured questionnaires with interviews, the study gains both statistical patterns and contextual understanding.

3.2 Study Design and Context

A cross-sectional research design was employed to examine the relationship between internal auditing practices and organizational performance at Mumangi Construction Company Limited in Mwanza, Tanzania. Data was collected from respondents at a single point in time, allowing for efficient comparison across different categories of participants. The company was selected because it represents common operational challenges faced by construction firms in developing contexts such as limited resources, compliance pressures, and the need to strengthen governance systems. The respondents included internal auditors, departmental managers, and operational staff who directly engage with or are affected by internal audit processes. This design was suitable because it provides a snapshot of current auditing practices and their influence on performance without the need for long-term tracking.

3.3 Study Population

The study population consisted of key stakeholders involved in internal audit functions and organizational operations at Mumangi Construction Company Limited. This included internal auditors, senior managers, external auditors, and selected employees from operational departments. These groups were targeted because they possess firsthand knowledge of the company's risk management systems, reporting practices, and control procedures. Their involvement ensured that the information collected reflected both technical audit processes and practical organizational realities. Focusing on participants directly linked to the audit process also increased the accuracy and relevance of the findings.

Table 3.1 Target Population

Categories	Frequency	Percent
Internal Auditors	2	100.0
Senior Management Members	10	100.0
Employees	100	100.0
External Stakeholders	30	100.0
Total	142	100.0

Source: Researcher, 2025

3.4 Sampling Procedure

This study adopts a census approach in which data were collected from the entire population of individuals involved in or affected by internal auditing practices at Mumangi Construction Company Limited. The total population comprises 142 respondents, including 2 internal auditors, 10 senior management members, 100 operational employees, and 30 external stakeholders such as external auditors, consultants, and board members. The use of a census is justified by the manageable size of the population, which allows for complete data collection without the need for sampling. As Gay et al, (1987) explains, when the population size is fewer than 100, it is advisable to include all members in the study. While the present population slightly exceeds this threshold, it remains sufficiently small and manageable to warrant a census approach.

3.5 Data Collection Instruments

3.5.1 Questionnaire

The main tool used to collect primary data for this study was a structured questionnaire distributed to internal auditors, senior managers, operational employees, and selected external stakeholders such as external auditors, consultants, and board members at Mumangi Construction Company Limited. The questionnaire was self-administered to allow respondents to complete it independently and confidentially. It was divided into five sections covering demographic information, audit planning, risk assessment, reporting quality, and organizational performance. Most of the items consisted of closed-ended questions measured on a five-point Likert scale ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree. This structure made it possible to quantify respondents' perceptions and examine the relationship between internal auditing practices and financial, operational, and efficiency performance.

3.5.2 Interview

Interview questions were conducted with 30 external stakeholders such as external auditors, consultants, and board members to collect in-depth qualitative data. These interviews allow for a deeper exploration of the nuances in risk assessment practices, the quality of audit reporting, and their specific impacts on organizational performance within the construction company setting. The semi-structured interviews provide flexibility, enabling the researcher to probe further into areas of interest that arise during the conversation, thereby enriching the understanding of how internal auditing practices are implemented, perceived, and utilized to influence organizational performance (Creswell and Creswell, 2018).

3.6 Data Analysis

3.6.1 Quantitative Data Analysis

Data collected from structured questionnaires were coded and entered into Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for analysis. The process began with data cleaning to check for completeness, accuracy, and consistency. Descriptive statistics such as means, standard deviations, frequencies, and percentages were computed to summarize the characteristics of respondents and provide an overview of the prevalence and distribution of internal auditing practices at Mumangi Construction Company Limited.

Inferential statistics, including correlation and regression analyses, were then employed to examine the relationships between variables. Pearson's correlation analysis was used to determine the strength and direction of associations between audit planning, risk assessment, reporting quality, and organizational performance indicators (financial performance, operational performance, and efficiency). Multiple regression analysis was applied to assess the combined and individual effects of the independent variables (audit planning, risk assessment, and reporting quality) on the dependent variable (organizational performance).

The regression model estimated is expressed as: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \epsilon$

Where:

Y Represents the dependent variable for organizational performance

X₁ Represents audit planning

X₂ Represents risk assessment X₃ Represents reporting quality

β_0 Represent intercept term.

ϵ Error

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ Represent the coefficients for each independent variable, indicating the strength and direction of their impact on the dependent variables.

3.6.2 Qualitative Data Analysis

The qualitative data obtained from semi-structured interviews and open-ended questionnaire responses were analyzed using thematic analysis. This method involved systematically coding the data to identify recurring ideas and meaningful patterns across participants' responses (Nowell et al., 2017). The coding process was iterative, with several rounds of review to refine the categories and ensure accurate theme development (Clarke & Braun, 2021). After key themes were established, they were interpreted in relation to the study's objectives, providing deeper insight into how internal auditing practices especially risk assessment and reporting quality influence organizational performance.

4.0 FINDINGS

4.1 Finding per Specific Objectives

4.1.1 Effect of Audit Planning on Organizational Performance

Descriptive analysis showed that respondents generally disagreed with statements suggesting that audit planning positively affects organizational performance. The mean scores for all items ranged between 1.96 and 2.48, all below the neutral value of 3.0, indicating a low level of agreement. The lowest mean score was recorded for the statement "Well-structured audit plans have improved the company's organizational performance" (Mean = 1.96, SD = 0.74), while the highest was for "The timeline set during audit planning is realistic and achievable" (Mean = 2.48, SD = 1.26). This suggests that most respondents viewed current audit planning practices as weak and ineffective in driving organizational performance.

Inferential analysis using regression revealed that audit planning has a statistically significant positive influence on organizational performance ($\beta = 0.314$, $t = 4.026$, $p = 0.000$). The correlation coefficient ($r = 0.612$, $p = 0.001$) also showed a strong positive

relationship, confirming that improvements in audit planning are associated with better performance outcomes.

4.1.2 Influence of Risk Assessment on Organizational Performance

Descriptive statistics showed that the mean values for risk assessment items ranged between 1.99 and 2.73, all below the neutral point of 3.0. The lowest mean (1.99, SD = 0.85) was recorded for the statement "Risk assessment procedures are reviewed and updated regularly to remain effective," indicating that reviews are infrequent. Another low mean (2.08, SD = 0.89) related to "Operational performance has improved due to robust risk assessment procedures." The highest mean (2.73, SD = 1.31) was for "Risk assessment results are incorporated into the organization's decision-making processes." These results reflect low confidence in risk assessment practices among respondents.

Inferential analysis revealed that risk assessment has a significant positive relationship with organizational performance ($r = 0.643$, $p = 0.000$) and a significant regression coefficient ($\beta = 0.276$, $t = 3.247$, $p = 0.000$). This confirms that, statistically, improved risk assessment enhances performance outcomes.

Thematic analysis from interviews revealed that risk assessment practices at Mumangi Construction Company Limited are largely reactive rather than proactive. Risks are commonly identified after issues have already occurred, indicating the absence of a structured and continuous risk assessment framework. Interview data further revealed that management follow-up on identified risks is weak, and lessons from past assessments are not consistently implemented. The analysis also showed that managerial support for implementing audit recommendations is limited due to inadequate resources, insufficient technical expertise, and competing operational priorities. Additionally, communication of risk findings across departments is ineffective, and the information gathered from risk assessments is rarely incorporated into strategic or operational decision-making. Overall, the thematic results suggest that resource constraints, inadequate training, and weak accountability mechanisms hinder the effectiveness of risk assessment in enhancing organizational performance.

4.1.3 Effect of Auditors' Reporting Quality on Organizational Performance

Descriptive results showed that respondents largely disagreed with statements about the quality of audit reporting. Mean scores ranged from 2.04 to 2.62, indicating that most viewed audit reports as unclear, incomplete, and delayed. The lowest mean (2.04, SD = 0.84) was for "Audit reports provide actionable recommendations," while the highest (2.62, SD = 1.16) was for "Audit findings are communicated in a timely manner." Respondents believed audit reports lacked sufficient detail and were not tailored to stakeholder needs.

Inferential analysis confirmed that auditors' reporting quality significantly affects organizational performance ($r = 0.667$, $p = 0.000$; $\beta = 0.352$, $t = 4.346$, $p = 0.000$). This implies that improvements in report clarity, timeliness, and relevance can lead to better decision-making and transparency.

Thematic analysis from interviews revealed that most reports are produced late and contain limited actionable insights. One auditor commented, "Reports are usually too late to address the issues they highlight." A consultant noted, "Reports are often filed away instead of being used for operational improvement." Board members echoed that audit recommendations were rarely implemented due to lack of resources and weak management support.

4.2 Discussion

4.2.1 Effect of Audit Planning on Organizational Performance

The findings demonstrate that audit planning at Mumangi Construction is conceptually recognized as important but practically underperformed. The low mean values (1.96–2.48) imply that planning lacks structure and alignment with strategic goals. Nevertheless, inferential results confirm a statistically significant and positive influence ($\beta = 0.314$, $p = 0.000$), suggesting that strengthening audit planning could improve organizational outcomes.

These findings contrast with Simbiri, Kihara, and Odhiambo (2023) and Udeh and Ofoegbu (2021), who established that well-structured audit planning improves financial discipline, accountability, and operational efficiency in SACCOs and public organizations. Similarly, Lenz and Hahn (2015) observed that detailed risk-based planning enhances audit effectiveness in European firms. From the perspective of Agency Theory (Jensen & Meckling, 1976), audit planning should minimize information asymmetry and enhance control. However, at Mumangi Construction, the lack of systematic and strategic audit plans weakens monitoring mechanisms, reducing the contribution of auditing to performance improvement.

4.2.2 Influence of Risk Assessment on Organizational Performance

The combination of descriptive, inferential, and thematic evidence shows that while risk assessment is statistically significant, its implementation at Mumangi Construction is weak and inconsistent. The mean scores (1.99–2.73) reveal poor practice, though the regression and correlation results ($\beta = 0.276$; $r = 0.643$; $p = 0.000$) confirm a strong potential impact.

These results differ from Arena, Arnaboldi, and Azzone (2019) and Eniola and Akintunde (2020), who found that proactive and continuous risk assessment enhances efficiency and compliance. Ahmad, Othman, and Jusoh (2020) similarly highlighted that effective risk assessment promotes business sustainability. In line with Agency Theory (Ahmed & Boualem, 2015), risk assessment provides oversight and reduces moral hazard by revealing hidden organizational risks. However, at Mumangi Construction, the lack of consistent evaluation and weak managerial support prevent this theoretical benefit from being realized, thereby limiting performance improvement.

4.2.3 Effect of Auditors' Reporting Quality on Organizational Performance

The integration of descriptive, inferential, and thematic findings demonstrates that while audit reporting quality is statistically significant, it remains weak in practice. The low mean values (2.04–

2.62) suggest dissatisfaction with the content and timeliness of reports, yet regression results ($\beta = 0.352$, $p = 0.000$) and correlation ($r = 0.667$) confirm its crucial influence on performance.

These findings align with Sarens and De Beelde (2020) and Mihret and Yismaw (2020), who found that high-quality internal audit reports enhance transparency and accountability in both public and private institutions. Similarly, Kimeu, Mbugua, and Njeru (2021) observed that effective reporting improved operational efficiency in Kenyan construction firms. According to Agency Theory (Shahzad, Bajwa, & Khan, 2019), clear and timely reporting reduces information asymmetry between management and stakeholders. However, at Mumangi Construction, weak auditor expertise and poor management responsiveness diminish the audit function's governance role.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The study concludes that while audit planning, risk assessment, and auditors' reporting quality each have a positive influence on organizational performance at Mumangi Construction Company Limited, their practical implementation remains weak. Audit planning was found to be poorly structured, inadequately aligned with strategic goals, and constrained by limited resources, which diminishes its effectiveness in driving performance improvements. Risk assessment practices were also found to be inconsistent and reactive, with limited communication and minimal managerial follow-up, thereby reducing their contribution to operational efficiency and decision-making. Similarly, the quality of audit reporting was low, characterized by unclear, delayed, and insufficiently actionable reports, which undermines transparency and accountability within the organization. Overall, the findings suggest that despite the statistical significance of these internal auditing practices in influencing performance, their practical benefits are hindered by weak managerial support, insufficient training, and resource limitations. Strengthening audit planning, institutionalizing proactive risk assessment, and improving the quality and timeliness of audit reports are essential steps toward enhancing accountability, efficiency, and overall organizational performance at Mumangi Construction Company Limited.

REFERENCES

1. Adams, M. B. (1994). Agency theory and the internal audit. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 9(8), 8–12.
2. Ahmad, M., Othman, R., & Jusoh, R. (2020). The role of risk assessment in achieving organizational sustainability. *International Journal of Auditing and Accounting Studies*, 6(2), 101–115.
3. Ahmed, T., & Boualem, M. (2015). Agency theory and risk management in organizational governance. *Journal of Economics and Business Research*, 21(3), 45–59.
4. Arena, M., Arnaboldi, M., & Azzone, G. (2019). Risk management and internal control in complex organizations. *International Journal of Auditing*, 23(4), 614–630.
5. Burakauskaitė, I., & Čiginas, A. (2023). An approach to integrating a non-probability sample in the population census. *Mathematics*, 11(3), 1–18.
6. Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2021). Thematic analysis: A practical

- guide. London: Sage Publications.
7. Collis, J., & Hussey, R. (2021). Business research: A practical guide for undergraduate and postgraduate students (5th ed.). Red Globe Press.
8. Dibia, N. O., & Onwuchekwa, J. C. (2023). Agency theory and internal control effectiveness in corporate organizations. *International Journal of Business Research*, 15(2), 87–102.
9. Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Agency theory: An assessment and review. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 57–74.
10. Eniola, A., & Akintunde, S. (2020). Risk management practices and firm performance in Nigerian manufacturing firms. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 15(4), 120–132.
11. Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. (1983). Separation of ownership and control. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 26(2), 301–325.
12. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs, and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360.
13. Johnson, R. B., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2020). Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), 14–26.
14. Kimeu, J., Mbugua, J., & Njeru, A. (2021). Influence of internal audit quality on performance of construction firms in Kenya. *Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 9(1), 37–49.
15. Lenz, R., & Hahn, U. (2015). A synthesis of empirical internal audit effectiveness literature. *European Accounting Review*, 24(1), 23–57.
16. Mihret, D., & Yismaw, A. (2020). The effectiveness of internal audit in public sector organizations. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 35(3), 289–308.
17. Morgan, D. L. (2018). Pragmatism as a paradigm for social research. London: Sage Publications.
18. Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16, 1–13.
19. Prawitt, D., Sharp, N., & Wood, D. (2021). Internal audit quality and financial reporting reliability. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 59(2), 521–549.
20. Sarens, G., & De Beelde, I. (2020). Internal auditors' perception about their role in risk management:
21. A comparison between private and public sector organizations. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 35(2), 150–170.
22. Shahzad, A., Bajwa, F. H., & Khan, M. A. (2019). Audit quality, transparency, and organizational performance: An agency theory perspective. *Journal of Corporate Governance and Accountability*, 7(2), 76–89.
23. Simbiri, M., Kihara, P., & Odhiambo, R. (2023). Influence of internal audit planning on financial performance of SACCOs in Kenya. *International Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 8(1), 1–11.
24. Udeh, F. N., & Ofoegbu, G. N. (2021). Audit planning and financial performance of public sector organizations in Nigeria. *African Journal of Accounting and Auditing Research*, 7(4), 15–28.