DIGITAL GOVERNANCE AND INCLUSIVE POLICY IMPLEMENTATION: CHALLENGES AND PROSPECTS IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA

By

[1]Yusuf Lawal, [2]Ademeso Tosin Success, [3]Sunday Oluwaseyi Alabi and [4]Abdulaziz Idris

[1,2,3,4] Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria

Abstract

The concept of digital governance as a transformative force in public administration is currently gaining prominence, particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa, where governments are progressively utilising digital technology to enhance policy enforcement and service delivery. The present research paper will investigate the extent to which inclusive policy output in the region is influenced by the level of digital governance. Qualitative research methodologies, including in-depth interviews with policymakers, representatives of civil society, and technology experts, as well as document and report analysis, were implemented to identify the primary obstacles that impede inclusivity, infrastructural constraints, institutional challenges, inadequate financing, and socio-political processes and forces that determine access and participation. The study demonstrates that the digital divide remains a significant challenge, as it disproportionately affects the rural population, women, young people, and individuals with disabilities. Digital governance has been implemented in certain sectors, including mobile-based services, the open data platform, and digital identity systems, to enhance transparency, accountability, and citizen engagement, despite these obstacles. It is underscored that, despite the fact that a multitude of initiatives are technologically feasible, their impact on society can frequently be restricted by the absence of user-centred design, the failure to collaborate with stakeholders, and the absence of laws that safeguard digital rights. The study, therefore, recommends the integration of participatory practices into all phases of the design and implementation of the digital governance programs, as well as the improvement of digital literacy, the increase in digital infrastructure, and the enhancement of institutional capacity. It also underscores the necessity of multistakeholder partnerships and policy interventions that must prioritise marginalised groups. In summary, digital governance has the potential to significantly enhance the implementation of policies in Sub-Saharan Africa. However, its efficacy is contingent upon the challenge of addressing structural inequalities and ensuring that technology-based interventions are aligned with broader developmental and democratic objectives.

***Keywords:** Digital governance, e-governance, inclusive policy, Sub-Saharan Africa, institutional capacity, digital divide, citizen participation, policy implementation.*

INTRODUCTION

The global dissemination of online technologies has revolutionised politics, enabling it to provide new and unparalleled opportunities for enhancing transparency, accountability, administration efficiency, and citizen participation. In many instances, digital governance, which is aptly termed "e-governance" in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), has become a critical instrument in the modernisation of state administration and the enhancement of service delivery. Nevertheless, the reality of the situation in the region can be characterised by the significant disparity between the access, capacity, and outcomes, despite the universal acceptance of the potential for its diffusion. Subsequently, there are critical enquiries regarding the inclusiveness and capacity of these digital SSA administrations to address conventional development challenges, including political marginalisation and poverty and inequality.

According to Heeks (2018), digital governance is the process of incorporating information and communication technologies (ICTs) into the decision-making processes of governmental institutions, thereby facilitating the flow of administrative activities and fostering citizen engagement. To exemplify the SSA context, e-governance intervention encompasses mobile-based service delivery, digital identity systems, online portals of public services, and open data systems. These innovations have the potential to improve governance by reducing corruption, increasing access to essential services, and limiting bureaucratic inefficiency, including health, education, and financial inclusion (Munyua & Gichoya, 2021).

The integration of digital governance into national policies in SSA is confronted with a number of challenges, despite the fact that it indicates encouraging progress. The digital divide, which is geographically, economically, and demographically defined, is the most distinctive of these issues. Gay communities in rural areas frequently lack adequate infrastructure, such as internet connectivity, power, and digital literacy courses, which would facilitate their dissemination, despite the rapid technological advancements in urban centres of countries such as Kenya, Nigeria, and South Africa (World Bank, 2020). This disparity is in opposition to the inclusive approach, particularly in relation to the under-represented groups.

Political will, regulatory frameworks, and institutional capacity are also critical factors in determining the efficacy of digital governance. The implementation of digital solutions has been impeded by many SSA governments' institutional weaknesses, including a lack of technical expertise, insufficient funds, and inadequate policy coordination (Ndou, 2004). New ethical considerations have been prompted by other issues, such as cybersecurity, data privacy, and the threat of digital authoritarianism, particularly in environments where the democratic process is under threat or is compromised (Deibert, 2019).

However, numerous instances of digital governance in SSA have demonstrated that it is feasible to enhance the policy's inclusivity, despite this obstacle. For example, Ghana's objective is to grant all its citizens a digital identity that is verifiable and issued through the National Identification System (GhanaCard), thereby enabling them to access financial systems and social services (Asante et al., 2021). Similarly, the M-Pesa system has revolutionised the financial inclusion pattern in Kenya by facilitating the participation of numerous unbanked individuals in the formal economy through mobile money transactions (Jack & Suri, 2014). This is exemplified by the instances in which digital tools, when accompanied by the appropriate policies and infrastructure, can facilitate inclusive development.

Nevertheless, the total-body concept of digital governance is inclusive and involves a multifaceted approach that encompasses socio-political, economic, and cultural spaces, in addition to the adoption of technology. Mutula (2010) posits that digital governance should be founded on equity, participation, and empowerment in order to safeguard the interests of the populace rather than exacerbate existing inequalities. This directive encompasses the following: the development of digital literacy, the protection of digital rights, the investment in digital infrastructure, and the inclusion of the perspectives of the marginalised in the formulation and implementation of policy.

In addition to budgetary investments, technical assistance, and knowledge transmission, international organisations and donor agencies have also contributed to the advancement of digital governance in SSA. The World Bank, Digital Development Partnership, and the United Nations e-Government Development Index have all made efforts to raise awareness of the importance of the digital transformation of public administration. Nevertheless, the assistance of external actors is insufficient to ensure enduring outcomes. The continued efficacy and comprehensiveness of digital governance initiatives are contingent upon their conformity with national development priorities, contextually based adjustments, and ownership at the local level.

Furthermore, in order to assess the impact of digital governance on the implementation of policies, it is imperative to situate it within the broader socio-political framework of SSA. In the majority of states, the governance configuration remains centralised, and political elites may be receptive to reforms that could potentially undermine their control over resources and decision-making (Bratton & Van de Walle, 1997). These power dynamics may be either exacerbated or challenged by the digital tools that are available, contingent upon the manner in which they are implemented. This is why it is crucial to investigate the political economy of digital governance and the extent to which it can facilitate inclusive development.

The second critical component of digital governance is the role of civil society and grass-roots movements. Novel forms of civic participation are facilitated by online tools, which allow citizens to observe governance, denounce corruption, and appeal policy reform. During traditional monitoring, disaster management, and online health campaigns, the drivers of social adaptation, mobile applications, and crowd-sourcing instruments have been effective. Nevertheless, they can also be used to subvert malevolent effects in information security, monitoring, and oppression, indicating that these technologies also have an advantage in governance.

In order to surmount these complications, policymakers in SSA must anticipate inclusivity at each stage of the digital governance lifecycle, including design, implementation, evaluation, and feedback. In order to impact digital policies and services, it is imperative to engage a diverse range of stakeholders through participation methods that include women, adolescents, persons with disabilities, and individuals in rural areas. Simultaneously, it is imperative to confront the structural impediments that continue to restrict women's access to and utilisation of digital technologies in the majority of the region, such as gender inequality (ITU, 2020).

The potential of digital governance to revolutionise the implementation of policies in Sub-Saharan Africa is

promising; however, its complete potential necessitates a sophisticated comprehension of the region's distinctive challenges and opportunities. The critical interventions in the development of inclusive data ecosystems that serve all citizens include the closing of the digital divide, the enhancement of institutional capacities, the protection of digital rights, and the promotion of participatory governance through the construction of inclusive digital ecosystems that should serve all. The purpose of technology as a tool in sustainable growth should be the primary concern of the current negotiations in digital transformation that are confronting SSA. This should not only be a tool of efficiency, but also a tool of equity and fairness.

Statement of the Problem

The revolutionary paradigm of digital governance has been grasped to improve the delivery of public service and the implementation of policy in Sub-Saharan Africa. Governments in the region are increasingly utilising digital technologies that are linked to transparency, efficacy, and citizen engagement in governance processes. Nevertheless, the implementation of digital governance initiatives frequently fails to achieve comprehensive development as a result of institutional, structural, and socioeconomic constraints.

Digital divide is one of the primary obstacles that restricts the application and accessibility of digital services to a wide range of demographics. The rural communities, females, and low-income groups in Sub-Saharan Africa are disproportionately affected by the asymmetrical distribution of internet connectivity, digital freedom, and infrastructure (World Bank, 2020). Therefore, these exclusions perpetuate previous inequalities rather than resolving them (Alampasu & Ifinedo, 2021).

The deterioration of effective digital governance is also exacerbated by weak institutions. The majority of governments in Sub-Saharan Africa are unable to implement or sustain digital reforms as a result of inadequate technical capabilities, financial reserves, and government regulations. The level of trust in digital systems is diminished and citizen engagement is discouraged by the absence of legal guarantees, corruption, and fragmented policies (Mutula, 2010).

Digital technologies have the potential to create a new realm of civic engagement and accountability; however, they can also be employed to censor any activity and to do the same with information flows. Digital surveillance and internet shutdown have been employed by governments with inadequate democratic institutions to suppress political oppression and civil liberties, resulting in their classification as one of the most politically severely surveilled regions (Deibert, 2019). These practices address the ethical concerns of human rights and the exploitation of digital governance.

In summary, digital governance has the potential to improve the implementation of policies in Sub-Saharan Africa; however, its potential is restricted by institutional deficiencies, inequalities in access, and the improper use of digital technologies. It is imperative to address these concerns

in order to ensure that digital governance promotes inclusive and equitable development throughout the region.

Research Objectives

To examine the challenges and prospects of digital governance in promoting inclusive policy implementation in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Specific Objectives

1. To identify the key structural and institutional barriers limiting the effective implementation of digital governance initiatives in Sub-Saharan Africa.
2. To assess the extent to which digital governance initiatives promote inclusivity, particularly among marginalized groups such as women, youth, and rural populations.
3. To explore how digital governance can be leveraged to enhance transparency, accountability, and citizen participation in public policy processes in the region.

Research Questions

1. What are the key structural and institutional barriers limiting the effective implementation of digital governance initiatives in Sub-Saharan Africa?
2. To what extent do digital governance initiatives promote inclusivity among marginalized groups such as women, youth, and rural populations in Sub-Saharan Africa?
3. How can digital governance be leveraged to enhance transparency, accountability, and citizen participation in public policy processes in Sub-Saharan Africa?

Scope of the Study

The current study investigates the potential of digital governance to facilitate the implementation of inclusive policies in Sub-Saharan Africa. It investigates the manner in which governments in the region utilise digital technologies to improve government operations, service delivery, and citizen engagement. The primary trends are the availability of digital infrastructure, institutional capabilities, the arrangement of policy, and the participation of marginalised communities, particularly women, young people, and those residing in rural areas. The research includes a systemic evaluation of a few national and local programs, with an equal emphasis on comprehensive planning and the results of implementation at the ground level.

The broader socio-political context within the operation of digital governance is also emphasised, with an emphasis on transparency, accountability, and the participation of citizens. The report emphasises the opportunities and challenges that are inherent to digital transformation and provides recommendations for how to address them in order to establish more equitable development paths.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptual Review

Digital governance, also known as e-governance, is a revolutionary paradigm of government administration that is founded on the intelligent utilisation of information and communication technologies (ICTs) to improve the delivery of services, enhance the implementation of policies, and increase citizen engagement (Heeks, 2018). At the Sub-Saharan African (SSA) level, digital governance is acquiring acceptance as a means of transforming government functions and inclusively developing the regions. Nevertheless, the region has adopted a diverse range of conceptualisations and operationalizations as a result of its diverse political, economic, and social-cultural contexts.

Digital governance is the comprehensive integration of digital technologies into government processes with the objective of establishing accountability, transparency, and efficiency (Chadwick, 2013). Open data initiatives, digital identity schemes, and mobile-based service-delivery platforms are among the most frequently employed tools. These initiatives have the potential to reduce bureaucracy, curb corruption, and increase the provision of essential services, including education and health services, as well as inclusion in financial services (Munyua & Gichoya, 2021). Nevertheless, the success of these initiatives is contingent upon the extent of institutional capability, the development of infrastructures, and the inclusiveness of the initiatives.

Conversely, the comprehension of the functioning of digital governance in the SSA is contingent upon the concept of inclusive policy implementation. The researcher is referring to inclusivity in this context as the equitable participation of all societal groups, particularly marginalised populations, in the governance mechanism and the enjoyment of the benefits of the governance mechanism, as embodied in the policy that is based on the rules of the society (Mutula, 2010). Therefore, digital governance can be both inclusive and exclusive; however, its effectiveness is contingent upon architecture and implementation. M-Pesa, a mobile money system in Kenya, has provided a significant advantage to millions of individuals who were previously unbanked (Jack & Suri, 2014). However, other digital solutions may exclude individuals who lack the necessary digital expertise, lack internet access, or are unable to access devices that enable them to connect due to their inability to purchase a smartphone.

The e-Governance Maturity Model is the primary theoretical framework for the digital governance analysis. It identifies the various stages of the digital transformation, from the fundamental level of information dissemination to the complete level, which allows for the co-creation of policies and comprehensive citizen integration (UNDP, 2022). The majority of governments in the SSA are still in the early stages of development, with a primary emphasis on the digitalisation of preexisting services rather than the reorganisation of the governing process and the opportune engagement of citizens. This course has significant implications for the inclusivity of digital governance, particularly in relation to rural populations and other weaker populations.

The digital divide is a term that denotes the disparities in the utilisation and accessibility of digital technologies among various demographic categories (World Bank, 2020). In SSA, these disparities are observed across geographical, gender, age, and income lines. The extension of digital government programs is frequently hindered by the poor and ineffective internet infrastructure, electricity, and mobile networking contacts in rural jurisdictions. In comparison to men, women face a disproportionately high number of obstacles due to their lower educational attainment, lack of economic empowerment, and social norms that restrict their use of technology (ITU, 2020). Interventions that transcend technological application to encompass capacity building, policy reforms, and community action will eliminate the aforementioned disparities.

Institutional capability is an additional factor that influences the potential avenues of digital governance. In order to implement and sustain digital reforms, governments must possess sufficient technical expertise, financial resources, regulatory measures, and political resolve (Ndou, 2004). Development is impeded in numerous SSA nations by the absence of inter-ministerial coordination, fractured bureaucracies, and poor institutions. Additionally, the absence of legal transparency with respect to the preservation of data privacy, cybersecurity, and digital rights is a sign of the ethical implications of digital governance and its impact on democratic rights (Deibert, 2019).

The intersection of digital governance, civil society, and grassroots movements further complicates conceptualisation. This has been facilitated by digital tools, which have facilitated the development of new forms of civic action. Citizens have been able to monitor the activities of governments, expose malfeasance, and take action to establish new policies (Chadwick, 2013). Social networks, mobile applications, and crowdsourcing tools have been effective in the monitoring of elections, disaster emergency services, and health promotion. Nevertheless, the instruments can also be exploited in the context of repression, surveillance, and the dissemination of misinformation, particularly in countries with a dearth of democratic institutions (Alampasu & Ifinedo, 2021).

The picture is further complicated by the phenomenon of digital authoritarianism, which is the utilisation of technology by authoritarian regimes to regulate the flow of information, suppress their detractors, and shape public opinion. The internet has been shut down by the governments of certain SSA states during elections or demonstrations, which compromises the practice of openness and participation that digital governance aims to promote. This demonstrates the dual nature of digital technologies and the necessity of safeguards that ensure responsible governance and the preservation of digital rights.

In order to achieve inclusive outcomes, it is imperative to integrate digital governance into the broader developmental

agenda and adequately address national policy priorities. Mutula (2010) contends that the primary challenge associated with successful digital governance initiatives is their responsiveness to the demands of the region, equity, and participatory nature. This imperative necessitates the participation of a variety of stakeholders, including women, youth, persons with disabilities, and rural communities, in the development, execution, and evaluation of digital policies and services. Additionally, it necessitates investment in infrastructure, institutional strengthening, and digital literacy to establish an enabling environment.

The increase in digital governance in SSA is also influenced by the cooperation between the countries and the assistance of donors. Programs such as the United Nations e-Government Development Index and the World Bank Digital Development Partnership have contributed to the enhancement of awareness and capacity building (UNDP,2022). However, third-party support is insufficient to achieve sustainable results. Consequently, local ownership, adaptation on a context-specific level, and alignment with national development plans are essential for ensuring that digital governance can play a substantial role in the development of inclusive policies.

Nevertheless, the principle of participatory governance is emerging as one of the numerous normative frameworks of digital governance. The participatory governance approach emphasises the involvement of citizens in the formulation of public policies and regulations (Bratton & van de Walle, 1997). Digital tools, which are founded on the real-time feedback mechanism, consultations, and the enhancement of transparency in governmental operations, offer new opportunities. Nevertheless, in order to engage in the governance processes, they must possess a political will to ensure that all individuals are involved, as well as institutional changes and cultural transformations that transcend mere technological advancements.

Complex and multidimensional is the conceptual landscape of digital governance in Sub-Saharan Africa. Despite the great potential of digital technologies to enhance governance efficiency and promote a more inclusive development, their efficacy is contingent upon the capacity to address structural inequalities, fortify institutional capabilities, safeguard digital rights, and foster participatory governance. A comprehensive comprehension of these dimensions serves as the analytical foundation for assessing the challenges and opportunities of digital governance in the region, as well as for devising strategies that will leverage technology to promote sustainable development, equity, and justice.

Theoretical Review
An all-encompassing theoretical framework is necessary for an exhaustive assessment of digital governance in the policy-implementation context of Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). The question of how information and communication technologies (ICTs) rebuffer governance and public administration, as well as citizen-state interactions, has been addressed through the application of four paradigmatical lenses. Each of these paradigms, including modernisation theory, institutional theory, participatory governance theory, and critical theory, offers a distinctive analytical instrument for assessing the impact of technology on governance outcomes.

Modernization Theory and Digital Governance
The modernisation theory has been traditionally employed in the development paradigm in scholarly circles, where it is posited that economic growth is stimulated by advancements in technology and economic growth, as well as enhanced governance (Inayatullah, 2004). This structure is of the opinion that the integration of ICTs (e.g., mobile applications, web-based portals, and e-services) can modernise traditional public institutions, enhance the efficiency of administration, and enhance service delivery when discussing digital governance. These perspectives are consistent with the developmental objectives of the majority of sub-Saharan African governments, which are committed to replacing the conventional administrative efficiency model with a digitally-enabled administrative transformation.

Critics contend, however, that the modernisation theory typically disregards structural inequalities and adheres to a unilinear transfer pattern between traditional and modern systems (Chadwick & May, 2000). The belief that technology will serve as the foundation for modernisation in sub-Saharan Africa is, at best, naive, given the presence of long-term infrastructure constraints, low digital literacy, and weak institutional endowments. Heeks (2018) confirms that numerous digital governance initiatives in the region have been unsuccessful as a result of inadequate planning, inadequate integration with existing systems, and lack of consideration for local realities.

Institutional Theory and Digital Governance
The institutional theory and the influence of formal and informal rules, norms, and organisational structures on the adoption of technology can be used to analyse digital governance. The institutional context in which digital governance initiatives are situated at all times determines their performance.

In sub-Saharan Africa, the realisation and successful incorporation of digital reforms are frequently impeded by structural factors, including fragmented bureaucracies, a lack of technical skills, and a poor regulatory environment (Ndou, 2004). The population's confidence in digital interaction is undermined and citizen participation is discouraged by the absence of a clear legal safeguard in the fields of data security and cybersecurity, for example. The second perversion that may arise as a result of digital governance is political influence and corruption, which may divert the desired outcomes of digital governance towards elite control rather than serving the needs of the people (Bratton & van de Walle, 1997).

The implementation of digital governance must be regarded as a process rather than an individual technical action from an institutional perspective, as it can only be achieved through a corresponding adjustment of all the procedures, incentives, and power structures that encircle it (Munyua & Gichoya, 2021). Consequently, successful digital governance initiatives

should be integrated with institutional reforms that prioritise accountability, transparency, and adaptability.

Participatory Governance Theory and Digital Engagement

The theory of participatory governance emphasises the citizen's participation in the policy development and decision-making process (Pateman, 2012). Digital technologies have been perceived as a means of enhancing participatory governance by providing instantaneous feedback, facilitating consultations, and providing remote access to government services and information in recent years.

Social media, mobile applications, and crowdsourcing tools are employed in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) to document service delivery, monitor elections, and mobilise citizens (Chadwick, 2013). For instance, the Uwajibikaji Pamoja platform in Kenya enables citizens to monitor government expenditures and report any deficiencies, thereby enhancing accountability. The implementation of digital identification systems in Ghana has also improved access to social services and money (Asante et al., 2021).

Technological optimism, a tendency that assumes that technology (particularly digital tools) will somehow lead to increased civic engagement, is a risk that exists, despite those innovations. Mutula (2010) frequently emphasises that participation that is facilitated by digital means may be peripheral, as it fails to play a substantive role in shaping policy decisions. One of the primary obstacles is digital exclusion, which results in marginalised populations, particularly women, rural populations, and individuals with disabilities, being less inclined to utilise digital governance initiatives due to inadequate access and inadequate digital literacy.

Critical Theory and the Political Economy of Digital Governance

Critical theory offers a highly structured approach to the study of digital governance in the following way: by subjecting power relations, inequality, and the socio-political consequences of technology (Fuchs, 2017). Contrary to the views of the modernisation and institutional theories, where it is universally held that digital governance has always positively impacted on governance outcomes, critical theorists believe that how things work out with regard to digital development is that digital technologies can be used to strengthen the existing power structures and widen social divisions.

In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) the growing digital governance projects are particularly coming under scrutiny, on the possibility of facilitating surveillance, control, and repression. It is the democratically weak governments which have been using the digital devices to silence the dissenters, to control information and suppress the voices of the opponents of the ruling regime (Deibert, 2019). Another example of this that has become commonplace is the disconnection of the internet during elections or protests as one of the many methods used nowadays to censor people and snuff out democratic processes.

Moreover, privatisation of the digital infrastructure and reliance on external sources of technology providers also increases the issue of sovereignty and dependency (Alampasu & Ifinedo, 2021). A significant number of SSA nations rely on foreign providers of digital solutions raising queries about ownership, security as well as sustainability data. Critically, digital governance should be handled with a lot of care to work towards interests of the society as opposed to benefiting corporate or autocratic interests.

Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and User-Centered Design

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) (Davis, 1989) is one of the centric theories in digital governance scholarship that elucidates the factors that influence the adoption and acceptance of a digital system by a user. TAM contends that perceived usefulness and perceived simplicity of use are the two primary determinants of technology acceptance. Utilising the model in Sub-Saharan Africa, it is evident why certain digital governance initiatives are more successful than others.

M-Pesa, a mobile money application in Kenya, has a high adoption rate because it is considered both convenient and beneficial, even by users with low literacy levels (Jack & Suri, 2014). In contrast, there are digital applications that are poorly constructed and complex, and they are rarely used in general. The rural and disadvantaged community, which is unfamiliar with the digital interface, may not take advantage of this increased opportunity.

TAM underscores the importance of distinguishing between the design of digital services that are user-oriented and those that are tailored to the requirements and capabilities of different groups. Localisation of language, accessibility features, and community-based training programs are all components of this design, which are intended to bridge the digital divide.

Theoretical foundations of digital governance demonstrate a level of sophistication, ambiguity, and potential that originates in Sub-Saharan Africa. The modernisation theory emphasises the transformative potential of technology, while the institutional theory cautions against the influence of a society's governance on its performance. The participatory governance theory urges us to consider the multifaceted nature of access and participation, and the critical theory cautions against the dangers of digital authoritarianism and unequal power distribution. Additionally, TAM offers practical guidance on the development of digital systems that are both accessible and beneficial to all consumers.

Collectively, these theoretical perspectives establish digital governance as a socio-political and technical phenomenon. According to them, sustainable and equitable growth in Sub-Saharan Africa should be significantly influenced by a multi-dimensional strategy that extends beyond technological application. This strategy should take into account institutional constraints, inclusiveness, advertising rights, and digital governance.

Empirical Review

The empirical literature on digital governance in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) has been established as a robust body of knowledge that examines the utilisation of information and communication technologies (ICTs) to deliver policies, improve the provision of public services, and engage citizens. Although it is a prevalent research observation that digital governance has the potential to provide benefits, there are studies that identify the primary constraints associated with institutional capacity, accessibility, inclusiveness, and the social-political environment.

One of the most extensively researched aspects of digital governance in SSA is the provision of services via mobile devices, particularly in the financial and health sectors. In their seminal analysis of M-Pesa in Kenya, Jack and Suri (2014) demonstrate that mobile money has significantly impacted the financial inclusion of millions of unbanked individuals in Kenya, whether through mobile money or other formal financial services. Their findings substantiate the hypothesis that platforms have the capacity to generate transformational effects, provided that the user's requirements are taken into account during the design process. In the agriculture and education sectors, mobile telephones have intensified government-to-citizen (G2C) interaction, enhanced communication among rural residents, and increased market information services, according to a related study conducted by Aker and Mbiti (2011).

mHealth is designed to enhance the delivery of services and data acquisition in the health sector of the various countries in SSA. Omondi et al. (2020) cite Nigeria's Mobile Midwife as an illustration of the prenatal and postnatal care system's increased awareness and utilisation. The fact that digital tools can fill the gaps in service provision, particularly in distant locations where the usual infrastructure is in limited supply, can be confirmed by all of these case studies.

However, inequalities regarding access and utilisation persist to the extent that they are consistently reported, despite these success stories. Researchers consistently demonstrate that the treatment of rural residents, women, and individuals in low-income categories remains unequal. The World Bank (2020) also reported that internet penetration in SSA is substantially low in terms of percentage, and urban-rural disparities are even greater. Alampasu and Ifinedo (2021) also observe that all SSA nations exhibit significant disparities in their e-government preparedness, with digital literacy, affordability, and infrastructure serving as determining factors.

Gender inequality is an additional topic of interest. Women in sub-Saharan Africa are the least likely to possess a mobile phone or have access to the internet, which implies that they have fewer opportunities to become informed about digital governance initiatives, according to the International Telecommunication Union (ITU, 2020). This inequality has arisen as a result of financial constraints, inadequate education, and socio-cultural values. Digital governance has the potential to exacerbate environmental and social inequalities, and it is unable to significantly reduce the latter

unless targeted efforts are implemented, as per Asante et al. (2021).

Continuing implementation issues are attributed to policy fragmentation and limited capacity at the institutional level, as illustrated by empirical research. Insufficient institutional support, as well as a scarcity of technical expertise and funding, are obstacles to the implementation of e-governance initiatives in numerous states of SSA, as per Ndou (2004). Typically, these constraints manifest themselves in the form of schemed plans that are not well-coordinated, overlap, or adjusted during pilot programs. Mutula (2010) advocates for the implementation of a national ICT strategy that incorporates the issue of digital governance within the context of the overall development requirements.

Numerous case studies have been conducted to illustrate the repercussions of institutional failures on the outcomes of digital governance. For instance, the National Identification System (GhanaCard) of Ghana was confronted with logistical challenges, data management issues, and low levels of trust as a result of inadequate engagement of stakeholders, regulatory transparency, and coordination (Asante et al., 2021). Despite the system's current progress, the initial errors serve as evidence that effective digital governance necessitates the alignment of institutions, harmony between regulations, and high-quality stakeholder contributions.

The political economy of digital governance, particularly the aspect of power relations on adoption and utilisation, is another recurring theme. Bratton and van de Walle (1997) assert that political elites continue to possess the power to make decisions and manage resources in the majority of instances in the SSA. They also assert that the politics of prioritising digital reforms is structured in a manner that ensures their implementation. Deibert (2019) further elaborates on this topic by stating that other governments also employ digital tools to ensure improved governance, including dissent suppression, information management, and surveillance. The primary illustration of how the fundamental principles of digital governance can be utilised to undermine the democratic process is the internet suspension that occurred during elections in Tanzania, Uganda, and Ethiopia.

The empirical research indicates that digital governance has the potential to enhance civic participation and accountability, despite the ongoing limitations of Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). New forms of participatory governance in SSA have been established by digital platforms, as per Chadwick (2013). This allows citizens to report corruption, monitor the expenditure of taxpayers' funds, and hold governments accountable. The transparency of budget management is improved in Kenya by the Uwajibikaji Pamoja site, which is a platform for citizens to monitor budget allocations and expenditures (Munyua & Gichoya, 2021). Similarly, the Open Data Initiative in South Africa has expanded the accessibility of government data; however, its effectiveness remains restricted by inadequate levels of digital literacy and adoption.

Empirical studies also emphasise the importance of civil society and international budgets as key actors in the

promotion of digital governance programs. The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP, 2022) and the World Bank have primarily funded the capacity building, increased awareness, and empowerment of digital infrastructure programs at the local or national levels in numerous SSA countries. Nevertheless, the researchers caution that in order to increase the sustainability of external support, it must be complemented by local ownership and adaptation to the context. Alampasu and Ifinedo (2021) emphasise that top-down initiatives that are not well-conceived in relation to regional realities are unsuccessful in achieving the desired outcomes the majority of the time.

Empirical research studies that are founded on the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) provide a rationale for the diverse outcomes of digital governance in terms of technology acceptance and user behaviour. Davis (1989) posits that the adoption of technology is significantly influenced by perceived utility and ease of use. In SSA, research suggests that adoption is more prevalent on platforms that are user-friendly and accessible, as well as those that are more culturally relevant. For instance, M-Pesa's performance is determined by its ability to function on both conventional mobile phones and devices with minimal digital literacy (Jack & Suri, 2014). Conversely, digital systems that are either complex, poorly maintained, or disconnected from the user's reality experience a low level of adoption. Other mHealth initiatives in Kenya were unsuccessful due to the lack of network coverage, inadequate digital skills of healthcare providers, and low levels of trust in the digital interface, as per Omondi et al. (2020). This has demonstrated that digital governance solutions must be developed with end-users in mind and should incorporate a feedback cycle and iterative enhancement methods.

The practices of inclusive and participatory digital governance are also promoted by the existing empirical investigations. The theory of participatory governance posits that citizens are able to exert a greater degree of control over the policies by means of substantive representation, which in turn renders the policies more efficient and legitimate (Pateman, 2012). Nevertheless, mediation through Internet-based mediums may be vacant and hollow when there is no actuality in terms of influence. Mutula (2010) advocates for the development of digital governance models that are more inclusive in order to be more proactive in addressing the needs of marginalised groups, such as adolescents, individuals with disabilities, and purposeful communities.

In general, the empirical corpus of knowledge in Sub-Saharan Africa can emphasise the most significant successes and significant issues of digital governance. Although digital technologies have expanded the availability of services, financial inclusion, and civic engagement, their tremendous potential has been limited by structural inequalities, institutional inefficiency, and political interventions. The objective of future research should be to establish the most effective practices, improve institutional capabilities, and ensure that digital governance can function as a tool for sustainable and equitable development.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The proposed research employs a qualitative methodology to investigate the role of digital governance in the effective integration of policies in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). The most suitable method for the investigation is mixed methodology, which includes qualitative research, as it enables the acquisition of subtle information regarding a complex social phenomenon. This is due to the fact that the investigation pertains to the interaction of digital technologies, governance mechanisms, institutional strength, and social conditions. The research objective states that qualitative techniques enable the researcher to document the lived experience, perceptions, and narratives of stakeholders in or impacted by the digital governance initiative, in contrast to quantitative approaches, which prioritise the end results.

The primary source of data collection will be in-depth interviews with the carefully selected participants, including policymakers and civil-service officials who are involved in digital governance, civil-society officials, technology specialists, and representatives of marginalised groups that have either received or been denied access to the relevant programs. The use of semi-structured interview guides will enable the implementation of a flexible methodology that is thematically consistent with the respondents. This strategy will enable the researcher to conduct a more thorough examination of new themes, resulting in contextual and in-depth insights.

Interviewing will be supplemented by document analysis, which will serve as an alternative source of data. This component has involved the examination of national digital governance policies, e-government strategic plans, project reports, academic articles, and media content that pertains to digital governance in SSA. The research contextualises the interview material and illuminates the historical trajectory of digital governance in the region with the assistance of the current documentation.

Thematic analysis, a qualitative technique that is well-established, will be employed to identify the patterns and common themes in the corpus. The transcript and other documents will be systematically coded using a coding structure that is based on both preset research questions and emergent themes. With the assistance of qualitative data-analysis software (NVivo and Atlas.ti), it is possible to organise, retrieve, and interpret extensive texts.

In order to establish credibility and rigour, triangulation will be implemented, which will entail the integration of interview data and other insights derived from documents, as well the comparison of various perspectives based on the groups of participants. Additionally, the members will verify the accuracy and completeness of the initial results by disseminating them to them. The investigator will also maintain a reflexive journal throughout the research process, in which she will record her decisions, assumptions, and potential biases that could be employed in the further interpretation of the research.

Ethical considerations are the primary focus of the research design. Informed consent will be obtained prior to data collection, and participants will be informed of the study's objective, their right to withdraw at any time, and the manner in which the data will be used and encrypted. Pseudonyms will be employed to guarantee the highest level of anonymity and confidentiality, and any personally identifiable information will be excluded from subsequent publications and reports.

The implementation of these qualitative methodologies is intended to offer subtle insights into the mechanics of digital governance and the manner in which it influences the overarching application of policies in SSA. The methodology's design has been established to identify systemic obstacles and to demonstrate the potential of digital transformation to implement more equitable and effective governance.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

In an effort to ascertain any patterns, meanings, or insights regarding the relationship between digital governance and inclusive policy implementation in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), a thematic framework was implemented to analyse and interpret the data collected in this qualitative study. Information was meticulously analysed to identify the common themes, conflicting opinions, and situational factors that influence the success of online governance initiatives. This information was obtained through detailed interviews with the key stakeholders and supplemented by document analysis.

Thematic Organization of Data

The familiarisation stage, which followed the transcription of the interview recordings and the accumulation of other research-related documents, was the initial step in data analysis. This entailed perusing all transcripts multiple times in order to gain a comprehensive understanding of the narratives that the participants shared. During this phase, initial impressions and generalisations were recorded and utilised in the subsequent development of a coding framework.

The second stage involved the identification and naming of meaningful text units, which are commonly referred to as codes. These units symbolised specific ideas, concepts, or experiences that were articulated by individuals. Then, these codes were grouped into broader categories based on their conceptual similarity. For instance, responses to enquiries regarding internet accessibility, affordability of technological devices, and digital proficiency were categorised under the theme of digital exclusion, while responses to enquiries regarding institutional capacity, legal policies, and funding were classified under institutional barriers.

Subsequently, these categories were further refined in a subsequent iteration and consolidated into thematic domains that illustrated the primary issues that emerged from the data. The final categories were: Institutional Capacity and Governance, Political and Socio-Cultural Influences, and Opportunities of Digital Transformation. In order to accommodate the varied responses of the participants, all themes were followed by supporting sub-themes, thereby facilitating a multifaceted perspective from both stakeholder groups.

Theme Interpretation

1. Inclusion and Access

The prevailing inequality in accessing digital services, particularly among rural residents, women, youth, and individuals with disabilities, is one of the main conclusions drawn from the datasets. The most significant obstacles to successful digital governance were infrastructure-based flaws, such as a low number of areas covered by mobile-network coverage, high levels of electrical failure, and absence of internet accessibility. Participants who represented marginalised communities also mentioned that the high prices of smartphones and data rates had a negative impact on their capacity to use online resources. Socio-economic factors were also mentioned. Cultural practices and reduced levels of formal education were identified as additional inhibiting factors that influenced the opinions of women regarding the digital governance process. These trends emphasise the importance of taking a proactive approach to address the digital divide, as the digital governance initiatives of this group are at risk of being marginalised rather than promoting inclusivity. Policymakers have recently taken measures to address the situation by allocating investments in digital literacy programs and rural infrastructure in response to the recognition of this gap.

2. Governance and Institutional Capacity

One of these strands of discussion was focused on the relationship between the efficacy of a digital governance intervention and institutional capacity. According to government representatives and technology specialists, the primary challenges were the absence of long-term strategic planning, inter-ministerial coordination, and technical expertise.

Some of the respondents observed that the implementation of digital governance projects frequently experienced fragmentation as a result of the absence of supportive inter-ministerial cooperation and the complexity of regulatory frameworks. The absence of a budget has also been a systematic issue, particularly in low-income environments, where the cost of technological investment is in competition with other developmental requirements. This often results in a limited preservation of resources for digital transformation.

However, a small number of respondents reported positive experiences in which the efficacy of the program was improved as a result of institutional changes. One of the respondents reported that the establishment of a specialised entity in e-governance, which coordinates cross-sectoral electronic projects and initiatives, facilitated the development of more coherent policy and service delivery.

4. Socio-Cultural and Political Influences:

The empirical data suggest that digital governance in sub-Saharan Africa is involved in intricate relationships with politics. Some civil society representatives asserted that digital tools were also partially employed to suppress dissent, manipulate the moods of the masses, or even eavesdrop on someone. The internet shutdown during election periods and protests were frequently cited as examples of how digital governance could be exploited to undermine democratic processes.

Conversely, participants elaborated on the manner in which digital technology had empowered citizens to challenge and critique authority. These case studies encompassed social media movements, online petitioning, and crowdsourcing programs that facilitated civic action and enhanced the transparency of the policy-making process.

The results of digital governance were also significantly influenced by socio-cultural issues. Some interviewees from elderly generations and rural areas reported both denial/resistance and a refusal to engage in the use of digital systems. These individuals typically exhibited a negative attitude towards digital systems or distrust in governmental ones. Furthermore, the absence of regionalised content and language barriers were identified as additional obstacles to further adoption.

5. Possibilities for Digital Transformation

The data collected during the current investigation, despite their potential structural constraints, illustrates specific directions in which digital governance can facilitate the implementation of policies that prioritise inclusivity. In particular, respondents expressed confidence in the capacity of mobile-based platforms, open-data movements, and digital identity platforms to enhance civic-government engagement and expedite service delivery.

A limited number of examples have been provided, including mobile money platforms that have facilitated financial inclusion, digital health initiatives that have enhanced maternal care, and e-education programs that have offered access to learners in remote locations. The cases are emphasising the fact that digital governance can considerably strengthen the impact of policy when viewed and implemented through an inclusivity lens.

Respondents also underscored the importance of utilising multistakeholder collaboration among government agencies, corporate enterprises, civil society institutions, and international organisations to facilitate sustainable digital transformation. Participatory methodologies that involve end-users in the design process and ongoing evaluations of digital services were prioritised.

Synthesis of Results

In summary, these findings indicate that, despite the significant potential of digital governance to enhance policy implementation in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), the region's digital governance performance is influenced by a variety of socio-political, institutional, and structural variables. The data demonstrates that effective digital governance is not merely the adoption of technology; rather, it is a unified approach that involves the construction of the requisite infrastructure, the ongoing fortification of institutions, the provision of legal protection, and the active engagement of citizens.

It was the contributions of marginalised individuals who provided the most valuable guidance, as they were the ones who exposed the discrepancy between the policy intentions and the actual practice on the ground. Their perspectives underscored the clear necessity of establishing digital governance on the foundations of freedom, inclusivity, and equality. In the absence of such an orientation, online programs may either fail to accomplish their objectives or exacerbate existing inequities.

An integrated account of digital governance in SSA is provided by the qualitative analysis, which emphasises the perspectives of various stakeholders and thereby presents the transformative potential and system limits of digital governance. The article emphasises the necessity of establishing a comprehensive digital policy that is politically accountable, institutionally supported, and locally pertinent. Lastly, the results can be connected to broader discussions regarding the potential of digital technologies to be employed as instruments of justice, equality, and inclusive development, rather than solely as instruments of efficiency.

CONCLUSION

Policy execution and the provision of state services in Sub-Saharan Africa are improved by digital governance, which is an innovative instrument. The integration of digital technologies into the governance process has the potential to enhance transparency, increase efficiency, and encourage citizen participation, as evidenced by empirical evidence and the perspectives of stakeholders. Nevertheless, the success of such endeavours is contingent upon the concurrent resolution of underlying issues, including inclusivity, institutional capacity, socio-political dynamics, and access. Despite the fact that numerous countries have achieved substantial progress in the utilisation of digital tools for development, a significant number of other countries continue to grapple with infrastructure deficiencies, policy fragmentation, and unequal distribution of benefits that disadvantage marginalised communities.

Sub-Saharan Africa is confronted with a technical, yet socio-political and institutional, digital governance challenge, as the results indicated. Digital interventions would only perpetuate the existing disparities rather than curb them unless the digital divide is reduced with careful consideration, particularly in rural areas, women, the youth, and people with disabilities. These initiatives are additionally hindered by deficiencies in the institutional frameworks, inadequate funding, and inadequate technical expertise. The situation is complicated by political digital surveillance and censorship, and it is important to take sound legal protective measures and democratic precautions.

However, there are some encouraging examples of how digital governance can be employed to promote inclusive development in spite of these challenges. The utilisation of mobile-based financial services, technology-driven digital identity systems, and e-health interventions has exemplified the potential of technology to improve service delivery and reach underserved populations. These accomplishments underscore the importance of multistakeholder cooperation, participatory planning, and user-centred design in the development of effective digital governance strategies.

In order to implement inclusive digital governance, a multifaceted framework is required, rather than a technology-deployment-based framework. This framework must not only address the structural inequalities, but also strengthen institutions and empower citizens. In order to function as an instrument for sustainable development, justice, and equity, digital governance must be integrated into the context of broader developmental objectives and linked to the national developmental agenda in the region.

Recommendations

1. In order to fortify the digital foundation, governments should allocate a financial resource to the expansion of internet access, electrical provision, and mobile network signals, particularly in rural and marginalised regions.

2. In order to encourage meaningful engagement in digital governance, it is necessary to establish specific training programs that will improve the digital literacy of women, adolescents, and rural individuals.

3. The sustainability of digital initiatives is contingent upon the establishment of a solid foundation of technical skills, the enhancement of inter-agency alignments, and the provision of sufficient long-term spending.

4. The policy frameworks should be inclusive, ensuring that the requirements of marginalised groups are clearly addressed and that they are included in the decision-making process.

5. To counteract the potential form of digital authoritarianism and safeguard the civic space, it is imperative to enact specific laws that safeguard data privacy, cybersecurity, and the field of freedom of expression.

6. The development of scalable and innovative digital solutions should be facilitated by multistakeholder collaboration that bridges the divides between governmental agencies, private sector actors, civil society representatives, and international donors.

7. Finally, in order to guarantee that digital platforms are culturally appropriate, accessible to all, and meet local requirements, it is essential to implement user-centred design processes or methodologies, including the involvement of end-users in the design, testing, and evaluation of the platforms.

References

1. Aker, J. C., & Mbiti, I. M. (2011). Mobile phones and economic development in Africa. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 25* (3), 227–252. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.25.3.227

2. Alampasu, V., & Ifinedo, P. (2021). E-government readiness and usage among citizens in sub-Saharan African countries: An empirical investigation. *Government Information Quarterly, 38* (3), 101576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101576

3. Asante, D., Boateng, R., & Hinson, M. (2021). Exploring the drivers of national ID system adoption in Ghana. *Electronic Government, 17* (3), 255–271. https://doi.org/10.1504/EG.2021.117128

4. Bratton, M., & van de Walle, N. (1997). Democratic experiments in Africa: Regime transitions and multiple transitions. Cambridge University Press.

5. Chadwick, A. (2013). The hybrid media system: Politics and power. Oxford University Press.

6. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13* (3), 319–340. https://doi.org/10.2307/249008

7. Deibert, R. (2019). Reset: Reclaiming the internet for the public good . House of Anansi Press.

8. Fuchs, C. (2017). Social media: A critical introduction (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

9. Heeks, R. (2018). Understanding e-governance in developing countries: Concepts, issues and policy options. Institute for Development Policy and Management, The University of Manchester.

10. International Telecommunication Union (ITU). (2020). *Measuring digital development: Facts and figures 2020.* https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/FactsFigures2020.pdf

11. Jack, W., & Suri, T. (2014). Risk sharing and transactions costs: Evidence from Kenya's mobile money revolution. *American Economic Review, 104* (5), 183–187. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.104.5.183

12. Mutula, S. M. (2010). Digital divide and e-governance in Africa: The case of Botswana. *Electronic Journal on Information Systems in Developing Countries, 44* (1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1681-4835.2010.tb00313.x

13. Ndou, V. (2004). Pillars of e-government success in developing countries. *Communications of the IBIMA, 1* (1), 30–40.

14. Omondi, A., Mutegeki, P., & Namale, F. (2020). Understanding the adoption and use of mHealth in maternal health services in Kenya. *Health Informatics Journal, 26* (4), 963–975. https://doi.org/10.1177/1460458220902121

15. Pateman, C. (2012). Participation and democratic theory. Cambridge University Press.

16. Scott, W. R. (2013). Institutions and organizations: Ideas, interests, and identities (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

17. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2022). Digital governance for sustainable development: UNDP strategic offer. https://www.undp.org/digital

18. World Bank. (2020). World development report 2020: Trading for development in the age of global value chains. World Bank Publications.