



Optimization Algorithms in Transportation Problems: A Comprehensive Review

By

Pushpa Raj Joshi

Department of Statistics, Tri-Chandra Multiple Campus, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal.



Article History

Received: 25/01/2025

Accepted: 01/02/2025

Published: 04/02/2025

Vol – 4 Issue –2

PP: - 01-07

DOI:10.5281/zenodo.
14864627

Abstract

Transportation is a critical aspect of logistics and supply chain management. It involves the optimal distribution of goods from multiple sources to various destinations. The goal is to minimize transportation costs while meeting supply and demand constraints. All optimization algorithms developed so far focus on these challenges and their pros and cons. This article compares and classifies the algorithms according to their principles, merits, and demerits.

It explores exact and heuristic algorithms, outlining each approach and its appropriateness for transportation applications. It delves into different concepts involved in the simplex method, which is a key algorithm in linear programming, degenerate and specialized methods of simplex such as the transportation method, and network simplex method for transportation problems. Some of the heuristic algorithms proposed in the paper include classical heuristics such as the North-West Corner Method, Least Cost Method, and Vogel's Approximation Method and a collection of metaheuristics including Genetic Algorithms, Simulated Annealing, Tabu Search, Ant Colony Optimization, and Particle Swarm Optimization. As mentioned, many heuristic approaches produce satisfactory solutions in a reasonable time with no optimality guarantees. They are often the only solution technique for large, intractable, complex systems, models, or problem statement types that exact methods cannot tackle.

Keywords: Transportation problems, optimization algorithms, linear programming, heuristics, metaheuristics, artificial intelligence, machine learning.

1. Introduction

Transportation problems are classic optimization problems ubiquitous across various fields, such as logistics, supply chain management, manufacturing, and distribution. They are centered on the optimal way to move things from many origins (factories, stockrooms) to numerous destinations (retail outlets, buyers). The goal is to minimize the total cost of transporting all the items. The distance, fuel economy, vehicle restrictions, and delivery timing determine the price.

A rich body of research laid the foundation for transportation problems in the 1940s, including significant work such as Hitchcock (1941) and Koopmans (1949), and the field developed as a natural extension of that work. Their work laid the foundations for mathematical modeling, which allowed problems to be expressed as mathematical models and solutions to be derived. Much research has been conducted on developing and improving optimization algorithms for transportation problems. There are two fundamental types of classifiers: accurate algorithms and heuristic algorithms.

Exact Algorithms will yield the most optimal solution to the transportation problem. These methods mathematically search the entire solution space and record the cheapest way to get the stuff from A to B. However, these methods can suffer from steep time complexity as the problem becomes large. This can render them impractical in practical environments where numerous sources, destinations, and types of goods are available. In such cases, the time to optimally solve problems with exact approaches can be limited.

The heuristics algorithm brings in a practical solution. The SAT solver based on backtracking is a practical implementation that does not find optimal solutions but is satisfactory within time. These algorithms navigate the solution space efficiently using either heuristics or search methodologies. It is unlikely that amending one heuristic will lead to perfection, though it will usually come close to the optimal solution.

This paper reviews all the optimization algorithms employed so far for solving transportation problems, including the new issue. It analyzes exact and heuristic methods, distinguishing

the principles on which they rest, their theoretical realization, shortcomings, and strengths. It addresses some new trends in transportation optimization, especially with artificial intelligence and machine learning methods. Such advancements will pave the way for next-gen logistics systems that would be seamless, adaptive, and intelligent enough to handle the intricate logistics challenges of the real-life world by simplifying processes.

2. Mathematical Formulation

It is possible to mathematically define a broad transportation issue as a linear programming (LP) problem. Consider 'm' sources and 'n' destinations. The following are notations used.

Si: Supply capacity at source/origin i (i = 1, 2, ..., m)

Dj: Demand required at destination j (j = 1, 2, ..., n)

CIJ: The cost to transport one unit of goods from source/origin i to destination j

xij: Amount of goods shipped from source i to destination j
The goal is to minimize the total transportation cost, which can be written as:

$$\text{Minimize } Z = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \{c_{ij} x_{ij}\}$$

The following restrictions:

$$\text{Supply constraints: } \sum_{j=1}^n [x_{ij} \leq s_i], i=1,2,\dots,m$$

$$\text{Demand constraints: } \sum_{i=1}^m [x_{ij} \geq d_j], j=1,2,\dots,n$$

All terms are non-negative, $x_{ij} \geq 0$ where i, S, j ≤ size of N.

The transportation problem is balanced if the total supply equals the total demand ($\sum_{i=1}^m S_i = \sum_{j=1}^n D_j$). If demand does not equal total supply, the problem is unbalanced. To balance it, a dummy source or destination with zero cost in each cell is introduced for stability, followed by the optimization algorithm.

3. Exact Algorithms

When these algorithms are used, they will always obtain an optimal solution to a transportation problem. However, as the problem size increases, they become computationally intractable and are not used for large-scale instances. Popular classes of exact algorithms include:

3.1. The Simplex Method

The transport problems are solved by simplex hinges, one of the essential linear programming methods. The adequacy of the solution is then evaluated by the algorithm, which begins methodically searching for a better solution from the optimal corner cell of the problem space and stepping along the contour of the feasible region until an optimal solution has been determined. However, the algorithm's time complexity increases exponentially concerning the problem size (Dantzig, 1963), although the simplex method is broadly general (i.e., it guarantees the best solution and correct answer).

3.2. The above explanation comes from Transportation Simplex Method

The transport simplex method can be viewed as a special case of the simplex method for transport-type problems. It uses the transportation problem's specialized structure to help reach a

lower computational complexity. Starting from an initial basic feasible solution, the algorithm successively reviews the transport plan to determine whether and by how much it can be enhanced (Murty, 1983).

3.3. The Network Simplex Method

Other specific variables, like the network simplex method, begin with the network configuration of transportation challenges. It treats the problem as a network and then uses ideas from graph theory to find the most optimal solution. For transportation problems, network simplex outperforms standard simplex one-dimensional tableau (Ahuja, Magnanti & Orlin, 1993).

4. Heuristic Algorithms

Heuristic algorithms aim to quickly find reasonable answers to optimization challenges, even for large-scale problems. They do not guarantee a perfect solution. However, they tend to be reasonably close to optimal. Heuristic algorithms often deliver for complex routing problems when optimal solutions may be computationally impossible.

4.1. Classical Heuristics

Many heuristic algorithms (including classical ones) have been proposed to solve transportation problems. These algorithms can be straightforward to implement, wherein reasonable solutions can often be found relatively quickly. Here are some classical heuristics that will likely come to your mind:

- **Northwest Corner:** In a transportation problem, an initial basic feasible solution is determined using several methods. It begins by placing the maximum number of items into the cell in the top left-hand corner of the transportation table (the northwest corner). The primal resource's availability and the destination's solicitation restrain this distribution. After the allocation, the process proceeds to the next open cell to the right or below until the demand limit or supply constraint is satisfied. This process of allocating a part of supply and demand continues until the entire supply and demand is met (Taha, 2007). This approach is relatively straightforward to implement but frequently fails to consider costs, resulting in a potentially inefficient solution where transportation costs are not minimized.
- **Least Cost Method:** In the least cost method, we arrange the maximum possible units to reduce cost by assigning them to the cells with the least transportation cost. This process recursively occurs until the next minimum cost cell fills its supply capacity and completely satisfies demand requirements. This is known as a route or mode of transfer (Winston, 2004), which reduces transportation costs this way. However, it does not return the optimal minimum-cost solution and usually yields satisfactory answers.
- **Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM):** Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM) is a superior heuristic compared to the northwest corner and least

cost approaches. The purpose is to decrease transportation prices by analyzing how much time an individual could have saved if the most suitable way was chosen instead of the way of transportation. This has a single calculation as the first step in computing the penalty costs of the pans or the columns in the transportation table. These penalties are the differences between the minimum costs in each row and column, representing how much costs would rise if the best solution is disregarded. Next, since the one with the highest penalty cost cuts your cost more, the amount is the one with the highest penalty cost. This step is performed iteratively; allocations are made where the remaining costs are most considerable until all demand and supply constraints are met (Reinfeld & Vogel, 1958).

- Highest Demand/ Supply Method:**
 This method assumes that goods overstocked or in demand are given high priority for transportation. Allocating goods or services in the cell corresponding to the highest demand/supply row/column is given priority, and optimum units are allocated. The row or column with the fewest units is crossed out, and the process continues until every unit flows into its corresponding cell. The following viable optimal scenario procedure is identical. This method is better than the northwest corner method, has the least cost, and is close to Vogel's approximation method.

Table 1: Comparison between different heuristic methods in transportation

Heuristic	Description	Advantages	Limitations
North-West Corner Method	It starts at the top-left corner and allocates as much as possible to each cell.	Simple and easy to implement.	Often leads to suboptimal solutions.
Least Cost Method	Allocates as much as possible to the cell with the lowest cost.	It is relatively fast and often provides better solutions than the North-West Corner method.	It may not always lead to the best solution.
Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM)	Calculates penalty costs and allocates based on the highest	Generally, it provides better solutions than the	It is more complex to implement than other classical

	penalty.	North-West Corner and Least Cost methods.	heuristics.
Highest Demand/supply method	Allocate as much as possible to the cell with the lowest cost corresponding to the row/column containing the highest demand/supply.	It is relatively fast and often provides better solutions than the North-West corner and the least cost method.	It may not always lead to the best solution.

4.2. Metaheuristics

Metaheuristics are higher-level heuristic algorithms that direct the search process to improve solution space exploration. Natural phenomena or biological processes inspire many. For transportation optimization, there are many metaheuristic algorithms available. Examples include:

- Genetic Algorithms(GA):** Genetic Algorithms (GAs) are one of the traditional optimization algorithms influenced by natural evolution. Here is a genetic algorithm in Python. Genetic algorithms are based on the concept of natural evolution. They begin with a group of potential solutions and use genetic operators like selection, crossover, and mutation to generate better solutions over time. Crossover combines these surviving candidates and creates "child" candidate solutions. It is somewhat akin to the survival of the fittest approach in that the more promising candidates are more likely to survive and be combined. Genetic Algorithms (GAs) are inspired by natural evolution and mimic this process by providing broad coverage of solution space and generating near-optimal results for complex problems like transportation logistics (Holland, 1975).
- SA-Simulated Annealing (SA):** Simulated Annealing (SA) draws on annealing in metallurgy, where a material is heated and cooled down. The material is then cooled slowly under controlled conditions to allow atoms to settle into a low-energy state, which minimizes defects and ultimately improves the quality of the material. Similarly, in optimization, SA employs the temperature to smoothen the search. Simulated annealing starts with a high temperature, allowing it to explore many possible solutions. However, as time goes on, the temperature decreases, so the algorithm centers around promising regions of overlaps and returns the best overall solution. This gives the ability to

jump out from local optima and approach a globally optimum or near-to-optimum solution.

- **TS (Tabu Search):** Tabu Search (TS) avoids exploring the solution space by using a memory structure, i.e., “tabu list.” This list saves the moves or solutions tested recently (used by the algorithm). The algorithm will not return to these moves or solutions for several iterations. · By allowing the TS to make exploratory jumps to areas of the solution space with variable payoff, this approach increases the likely discovery of better solutions (Glover, 1985, pp. 533-549).
- **Ant Colony Optimization (ACO):** The Tabu Search (TS) algorithm uses a memory structure termed a “tabu list” to direct the search. It maintains a list of explored solutions or moves to prevent the algorithm from revisiting the exact solution for many iterations. This prevents the

search from cycling back to the exact solutions that were already explored and helps TS escape local optima, therefore exploring the solution space even better (Glover, 1985, pp. 533-549).

- **Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO):** Based on the behavior of birds flocking or fish schooling. PSO is a population-based search algorithm. At swarm initialization, each particle updates its position using two essential positions. The PSO, being a population-based optimization algorithm, features individuals, called particles in this case, who can communicate with each other to share information (Kennedy & Eberhart, 1995, 1942–1948). Description: Due to the simplicity of this algorithm, APSO has been widely adopted for a significant number of optimization problems, and this tool can solve complex problems.

Table 2

Metaheuristic	Description	Advantages	Limitations
Genetic Algorithms (GA)	Mimic the process of natural selection.	Can handle complex problems and escape local optima.	Parameter tuning can be challenging.
Simulated Annealing (SA)	Simulates the annealing process in metallurgy.	Can escape local optima.	Cooling schedule can affect performance.
Tabu Search (TS)	It uses a memory structure to guide the search.	It can prevent cycling and explore the solution space effectively.	Tabu list size and tenure can affect performance.
Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)	Inspired by the foraging behavior of ants.	Can find reasonable solutions for combinatorial optimization problems.	Parameter tuning can be challenging.
Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)	Simulates the social behavior of bird flocking.	It is relatively simple to implement and can handle continuous optimization problems.	It can get trapped in local optima.

5. Applications of Optimization Algorithms in Transportation Problems

Optimization algorithms have been used in diverse sets of transportation problems, such as:

- **Vehicle Routing Problems (VRP):** The Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP) determines optimal vehicle delivery routes to many customers. This is a complex optimization problem that considers the total distance or time traveled and different constraints that need to be considered. These

constraints consist of the limited carrying capacity of the vehicle, the time windows during which each customer must be serviced, and predefined priorities for deliveries, ensuring the service is both timely and effective (Toth & Vigo, 2002). The VRP has numerous applications in logistics, waste collection, and supply chain management, focusing on increasing efficiency and minimizing costs.

- **Traveling Salesperson Problem (TSP):** The Traveling Salesperson Problem (TSP) is one of the oldest and most studied optimization problems,

dating back to the 1930s; it is the foundation of logistics and operations research. It is concerned with calculating the chance of minimum path visiting N cities precisely one time and returning to the starting town with minimum path. The issue has consequences across logistics, planning, and manufacturing, where optimal routing is considered. These are computationally intractable problems (NP-hard), so that number takes at least exponential time to find an exact optimal solution (Applegate, Bixby, Chvátal, & Cook, 2006) despite being relatively simple to formulate.

- **Location-Routing Problems (LRP):** A location-routing problem (LRP) is a multifaceted logistics challenge that optimizes facility placement and vehicle route sequentially. Comprehensive, including the optimization of facility locations, including warehouses and distribution centers, as well as the reduction of transportation expenses while fulfilling client requirements. It can consider the capacity of facilities, customer demand, vehicular constraints, and even the road network to recommend an optimal solution. LRP is well suited for location analyses and routing processes to operate more efficiently in distribution networks (Nagy & Salhi, 2007, pp. 649-672).
- **Logistics Optimization:** Transportation Network Design: Transportation network design is a fundamental component of logistics optimization, dealing with the strategic location of the hubs and terminals within the network. That is, it is (i) finding the best area of such facilities (e.g., finding the best location of warehouses so that the transport flows are (scrutinized that) the transport flows are efficient. The networks are working smoothly, etc.). In addition to determining the locations of the hubs and terminals, the design process also encompasses the routes connecting these nodes, emphasizing minimizing overall transportation costs while satisfying service level needs and improving the system's resiliency and responsiveness as demand evolves (Crainic, 2000, pp. 272-288).
- **Traffic Flow Optimization:** This ML use case focuses on improving the efficiency and capacity of urban roads and highways. This process involves optimizing traffic flow across the transportation network, minimizes congestion on our streets, reduces travel time, and improves the overall efficiency of traffic moving through the system. These techniques include traffic signal coordination, ramp metering, and variable speed limits to reduce bottlenecks (Sheffi, 1985). These efforts in optimizing the transportation system help to reduce fuel consumption, lower emissions, and promote more sustainable transport.
- **Supply Chain Optimization:** A more holistic approach to managing the flow of goods, information, and finances, from the sourcing of raw

materials and production processes to distribution networks and final product delivery. This holistic approach focuses on cutting costs at every step and parameter in the process, minimizing waste, ensuring optimum usage of resources, and ultimately delivering value to customers by satisfying them with the on-demand delivery of goods. (Simchi-Levi, Kaminsky, & Simchi-Levi, 2008).

6. Emerging Trends in Transportation Optimization

Transportation optimization powered by data science — TSP, VRP models, and algorithms. Recently, we partnered with a leading logistics provider on an exciting project and wanted to share our insights. [Related: Emerging trends in transportation optimization]

- **Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML):** The increasing complexity of transportation problems driven by globalization and e-commerce will require more advanced solutions. That is where artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) come into play. This dictates using previous data to train models to learn said patterns and anticipate events, thus enabling systems to adjust to changing conditions in real-time, among them traffic congestion, weather-related delays, and demand variation. By processing massive amounts of data, ML and AI help make rational decisions in logistics and transportation while identifying the best possible solutions through real-time analysis. This leads to enhanced efficiency, cost savings, and greater customer satisfaction in general.
- **Big Data:** The rise of big datasets (e.g., GPS data, sensor data, social media data) is revolutionizing transport systems as they open up significant opportunities to optimize transport systems. These massive datasets can manipulate complex patterns and detect trends across transportation networks. Transportation demand predictions can be more accurate using advanced analytics, enabling on-time and timely routing adjustments for real-time optimization, making transportation systems more effective and responsive (Chen, 2014). By helping transportation planners and operators to be appropriate, make informed decisions, optimize resource allocation, and improve the overall efficacy of the transport network through historical and real-time data.
- **Internet of Things:** The Internet of Things (IoT) revolutionizes transportation systems by integrating various devices and vehicles, generating vast amounts of real-time data about traffic conditions, vehicle locations, and environmental factors. The Internet of Things (IoT) facilitates real-time monitoring and directs the collected data to several domains, including load and transportation route

optimization, risk assessment, fleet tracking, etc. IoT-generated data allows transportation systems to readily adapt to evolving conditions by tailoring route selection depending on various routes and overall performance (Li et al., 2018).

- **Data Synthesis Through AI:** AI-powered data synthesis is not new. Using optimization algorithms, they can intelligently plan routes, optimize fleet management, and dynamically optimize and control traffic flow. Fagnant and Kockelman (2015) further mention that the optimization potential is not limited to these aspects and can improve different facets such as travel time, fuel consumption, traffic efficiency, etc. These advancements will revolutionize the future of transportation and logistics
- **Sustainable Mobility:** As environmental consciousness grows, there is a concerted effort to design transportation systems to minimize emissions and advocate for environmentally responsible logistics practices. It includes using alternative fuels, like biofuels and electricity, and advanced routing strategies to reduce fuel use and its environmental impact. In addition, there is an increasing focus on increasing multi-modal transportation, which combines multiple modes of transport, such as rail, road, and waterways, to provide more economical and environmentally friendly transport options (Lin & Kernighan, 1973). This push for sustainability aligns with a more significant movement towards reducing the carbon footprint of logistics and a vision for a better tomorrow.

7. Conclusion

Transportation problems and optimization algorithms optimization algorithms are vital to solving transportation problems. This paper presented a detailed overview of these algorithms organized according to their principles, applications, advantages, and limitations. Additionally, we have looked at new trends in transportation optimization, including AI, ML, big data analytics, IoT, and autonomous vehicles in shaping and controlling transportation systems.

Transportation problems will become more complex, and complex optimization algorithms will be needed. This paper should address more robust, optimized algorithms for real-time implementation while considering real-world scenarios, including dynamic environments, uncertainties, and multi-objective optimization. In conclusion, combining AI and ML techniques with big data can play a vital role in overcoming these challenges to build more innovative and sustainable transportation systems.

References

1. Ahuja, R.K., Magnanti, T.L. & Orlin, J.B. (1993). *Network flows: Theory, algorithms, and applications*. Prentice Hall.
2. Applegate, D. L., Bixby, R. E., Chvátal, V., & Cook, W. J. (2006). *Computational studies of the traveling salesman problem*. Princeton University Press.
3. Chen, M. (2014). *Data Science in Transportation: Big Data Analytics*. Springer.
4. Crainic, Teodor Gabriel, 2000. Service network design in freight transportation, *European Journal of Operational Research*, Elsevier, vol. 122(2), pp. 272-288, April.
5. Dantzig, G. B. (1963). *Our Unifying Notion: Linear Programming and Extensions*. Princeton University Press.
6. Dorigo, M. (1992). *Optimization, Learning, and Natural Algorithms* (Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Milano).
7. Fagnant, D. J., Kockelman, K. M. (2015). Getting a nation ready for self-driving cars: Opportunities, obstacles, and policy recommendations. *Part A: Policy and Practice*, 77, 167–181. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2015.04.003>
8. Glover, F. (1985). Future Paths for Integer Programming and Links to Artificial Intelligence. *Computers & Operations Research*, Vol. 13(5): 533–549. DOI: 10.1016/0305-0548(86)90048-1
9. Hitchcock, F. L. (1941). The Distribution of a Product from Several Sources to Numerous Localities. *Journal of Mathematics and Physics*, 20(1-4), 224–230. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sapm1941201224>
10. Holland, J. H. (1975). *Adaptation in Natural and Synthetic Systems*. University of Michigan. Press.
11. Kennedy, J., and R. Eberhart. Particle Swarm Optimization. *Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks*, vol. 4, IEEE, 1995, pp. 1942–1948. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNN.1995.488968>.
12. Koopmans, T.C. (1949). Optimum Utilization of the Transportation System. *Econometrica*, 17, 136-146. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1907301>
13. Murty, K. G. (1983). *Linear programming*. John Wiley & Sons.
14. Nagy, G., & Salhi, S. (2007). Location-routing: Issues, models, and methods. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2006.08.029> *European Journal of Operational Research*, V. 177(2), 649-672. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:837435>
15. Regmi, Joshi et al. (2012). *Production and Operations Management*, Buddha Academic Enterprises Pvt. Ltd., Kathmandu.
16. Reinfield, 12 N. V., & Vogel, W. R. (1958). *Mathematical programming*. Prentice-Hall.
17. Sheffi, Y. (1985). *Equilibrium analysis of urban transportation networks: a mathematical programming approach*. Prentice-Hall.
18. Simchi-Levi, D., Kaminsky, P., & Simchi-Levi, E. (2008). *Supply Chain Design and Management*:

Concepts, Strategies, and Case Studies. McGraw-Hill Irwin.

19. Taha, H. A. (2007). *Operations research: an introduction*. Pearson Education.
20. Toth, P., & Vigo, D. (2002). *The vehicle routing problem*. SIAM.
21. Winston, W. L. (2004). *Operations research: applications and algorithms*. Duxbury Press.