

Global Journal of Arts Humanity and Social Sciences
ISSN: 2583-2034
Abbreviated key title: Glob.J.Arts.Humanit.Soc.Sci
Frequency: Monthly
Published By GSAR Publishers
Journal Homepage Link: <https://gsarpublishers.com/journal-gjahss-home/>

Volume - 4 Issue - 12 Dec 2024 Total pages 1375-1380

The Emotional and Creative Dimensions of Artificial Intelligence in Art and Design

By

Wenbo Zhao^{1*}, Yuanyuan Sun²

^{1,2}International College, Krirk University, Bangkok 10220, Thailand



Abstract

The article explores the emotional aspect of artificial intelligence in art and design. With technological advancements, AI has shown tremendous potential in the realm of artistic creation. Firstly, the article reviews the development of AI in art and design, introducing applications of technologies such as generative art, deep learning, and generative adversarial networks. Secondly, it delves into the emotional dimension of AI in artistic design. Despite significant progress in learning and imitating artistic styles, AI's expression of emotions remains limited to simulating programs and data, creating a gap with human emotional experiences. However, by learning from human aesthetic experiences, AI utilizes universally recognized emotional symbols in artistic works, evoking aesthetic resonance among audiences. Furthermore, the article emphasizes the importance of human designers in the creative process and the potential for collaboration between AI and humans. Lastly, it discusses the future possibilities wherein AI, as technology advances, may enter an era of strong artificial intelligence, potentially disrupting the ecosystem of art and design. Although AI might acquire emotional and creative capabilities in the future, the emotional experiences and unique creativity of human creators remain irreplaceable. Therefore, the future of art and design might be a product of collaboration between humans and AI, opening new spaces for exploration and contemplation in the art world.

Keywords: AI Art; Art Design; Generation Mechanisms; Emotionality

Article History

Received: 21- 12- 2024
Accepted: 28- 12- 2024
Published: 31- 12- 2024

Corresponding author
Wenbo Zhao

1. Introduction

When discussing artificial intelligence, we often mention its wide-ranging applications, one of which is the revolution it brings to the field of art and design. The development of AI has surpassed our previous imaginations—it's not just a powerful tool but also an artist capable of generating unique creativity. People have shown immense interest in understanding AI's role and influence in artistic design because its intervention seems to challenge our traditional understanding of creativity and artistry.

In 1973, Harold Cohen invented the computer drawing program AARON, marking the inception of AI art (Nake, 2019). AARON could autonomously create abstract closed shapes, still life, and even portraits, showcasing a form of 'learning ability' by evolving and developing within its own programming for artistic creation. This concept of 'self-learning' became the foundation for the subsequent development of AI art. With technological advancements, AI's role in artistic creation continued to strengthen.

In 2018, a painting created by an AI painting system developed by the French team Obvious titled 'Portrait of Edmond de Belamy' sold for a high price at an auction, directly demonstrating the commercial value and market potential of AI-generated art (Murphy, 2022). This value stemmed from AI's breakthroughs and its astonishing ability to mimic or even surpass human creativity. In early 2023, the emergence of ChatGPT (Chat Generative Pre-trained Transformer) brought new possibilities to the field of AI art and design. This system, based on the Transformer neural network architecture and deep learning, not only comprehends and learns human language but also performs various tasks like conversing with humans, painting, designing, and generating text. This comprehensive AI system not only sparked immense attention in the art field but also triggered a technological revolution in business and production sectors (Guo et al., 2023).

However, with AI's rapid advancement in the design field, concerns arose about whether it might replace human designers. Some companies have already started downsizing due to the



efficiency of AI, raising concerns about AI's impact on the job market. Particularly in areas like game concept art and graphic design, the widespread use of AI technology might lead to a reduction in these traditional positions (Makridakis, 2017). This trend prompted contemplation about AI's influence on human creativity and art.

Although AI demonstrates immense potential in the design field, the debate persists about whether it will entirely replace human designers. The development and creativity of AI indeed bring new dimensions and possibilities to artistic creation, but design is not solely about creation; it also serves human needs and emotions. The emotional resonance between artistic creators and their audience and the emotional aspect of creation are crucial factors in the design process, ones that AI finds difficult to entirely simulate and replace. Design is an art involving human emotions and experiences; while AI might assist and provide new avenues for creation, it cannot fully replace the unique perspective and emotional connection of human designers. Thus, despite the significant role AI plays in the design field, its relationship with human designers is more likely to be one of collaboration rather than simple replacement.

2. Mechanisms of AI Artistic Design Generation

2.1 Fundamentals and Generation Methods

When discussing the generation mechanisms of AI artistic design, understanding its fundamentals and generation methods becomes crucial. AI artistic design is based on Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) and deep learning techniques for generative art (Santos et al., 2021). It encompasses various specific aspects, including Text-to-Image, Text-to-Video, and Text-to-Text generation.

Firstly, Artificial Neural Networks are computational models that mimic the functioning principles of the human neural system (Shanmuganathan, 2016). Comprising multiple artificial neurons (nodes), these neurons communicate and process information through connections for distributed and parallel processing. A typical neural network consists of an input layer, one or more hidden layers, and an output layer. These layers undergo training in a linear regression fashion to ultimately generate artistic pieces.

The concept of deep learning stems from the research on Artificial Neural Networks, constituting a broader machine learning approach (Rezwana & Maher, 2023). Central to deep learning is the deep neural network, containing multiple hidden layers in a multi-layer perceptron. By training the neural network, it gains learning capabilities to extract features and recognize patterns from extensive data, achieving exceptionally high accuracy. This learning methodology enhances the intelligence and flexibility of AI systems in handling complex artistic creation tasks.

In the realm of Text-to-Image generation, AI systems can create images based on input textual descriptions. This technology relies on deep learning models, enabling AI systems to comprehend textual descriptions and convert them into corresponding visual

expressions. This holds immense potential in artistic creation, aiding artists in translating imagination into visual works.

Similarly, in Text-to-Video generation, AI systems can generate videos corresponding to input text content. This technique, also based on deep learning and extensive data training, allows AI systems to create visually dynamic artworks by understanding the emotions and meanings within textual descriptions, thus expanding the possibilities of artistic creation.

Additionally, Text-to-Text generation applications form a significant part of AI artistic design. Through deep learning models, AI systems can learn and mimic text in various styles and contexts, generating text pieces with specific themes or emotions. This technology aids not only in creating literary works like poetry and prose but also finds application across various domains such as advertising copywriting and news reporting (Patel et al., 2020).

In summary, the generation mechanisms of AI artistic design are built upon the foundation of Artificial Neural Networks and deep learning techniques. These technologies enable AI systems to create across multiple dimensions, from text to images, videos, and text itself, expanding the boundaries and possibilities of artistic creation. In this process, the characteristics of deep learning empower AI systems with the capability to learn, understand, and create, injecting fresh thinking and creativity into artistic creation.

When discussing the emotional aspect of AI artistic design, this generation mechanism plays a pivotal role (Chen et al., 2020). Despite AI systems being able to generate highly realistic works, their creation remains rooted in training data and models, lacking the unique emotions and life experiences of real human artists. Hence, while AI systems can mimic and create astounding pieces, the emotions and personalities embedded within them remain limited. On the other hand, AI systems are to some extent constrained by their training data and algorithms. Their creative process may lean toward imitation of established styles and patterns, making innovation within predefined frameworks challenging. This underscores the importance of the creative, unique perspectives, and emotional expressions possessed by human artists in the artistic creation process.

2.2 Generative Adversarial Networks

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) play a pivotal role in the realm of AI artistic design (Hughes et al., 2021). This structure comprises two parts: the Generator and the Discriminator, engaging in a competitive and adversarial training approach to produce realistic artistic designs.

Firstly, the Generator is responsible for creating works such as images, music clips, or text. It receives input in the form of random noise or conditional information and, through a series of complex computations and transformations, gradually generates content resembling real artworks. By learning the characteristics and styles from a vast array of real artworks, the Generator attempts to imitate and create works with similar texture and features. Its primary goal is continuous improvement to make the generated works more realistic and innovative (Nobari et al., 2021).

In contrast, the Discriminator evaluates whether the works generated by the Generator are authentic. It receives two types of inputs: real artistic designs and those generated by the Generator. By comparing the differences between these two sets of works and continuously adjusting its discerning abilities, the Discriminator gradually identifies which works are produced by the Generator. Its task is to enhance its ability to distinguish authenticity, thereby rejecting falsely generated works and prompting the Generator to continually improve to reach the standards of real artworks.

During the AI creation process, the Generator and the Discriminator engage in an ongoing game. The Generator attempts to deceive the Discriminator by continuously attempting to create more realistic and creatively ambiguous works to mimic and blur the boundaries between real and false creations. Simultaneously, the Discriminator strives to enhance its ability to discern, rejecting falsely generated works. This competitive and gaming process drives continual progress and optimization of both components, thereby propelling the development and innovation of AI artistic design.

The operational mode of Generative Adversarial Networks introduces new possibilities into AI artistic design (Hughes et al., 2021). It allows AI systems to exhibit unique styles and creativity during the creative process, surpassing previous limitations solely reliant on pre-programming or imitative learning. This adversarial training method makes AI artistic works more innovative and distinctive, presenting a different mode of creation and imagination compared to human designers.

However, despite the immense potential exhibited by Generative Adversarial Networks in artistic creation, they also face certain challenges and limitations. One primary concern is the stability of the model and the controllability of results. Due to the continual confrontation between the Generator and the Discriminator, the stability of the model might be compromised, leading to unstable or uncontrollable outcomes. This may result in unexpected works, limiting the practical application of Generative Adversarial Networks in actual artistic creation.

Additionally, GANs require substantial training data and computational resources, which also bring about cost and time pressures. Particularly for certain categories or domains of art, data collection might be relatively challenging, limiting the scope of model training. This restriction hampers the application of GANs in some areas.

2.3 Creative Adversarial Network System

Ahmed Elgammal's Creative Adversarial Network System (CAN) marks an improvement and innovation over traditional Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), aiming to address the deficiencies in creativity within art design (Bontrager, 2020). In the field of art design, particularly in AI-driven art, while GANs have provided new approaches and methods for creation, they have also revealed some issues, such as artworks often excessively imitating similar styles, lacking genuine creativity and uniqueness.

Traditional Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) tend to mimic specific styles by learning from a large corpus of existing artworks but lack a genuine understanding of creativity and originality in art design. Consequently, the generated works often exhibit characteristics resembling previous art styles but fail to create entirely new artistic styles or pieces with uniqueness. This limitation restricts the application of AI in art creation, resulting in a lack of genuine innovation and artistry.

To address this issue, Elgammal (2019) and the Rutgers University Art and AI Lab proposed the Creative Adversarial Network System (CAN). This system is an optimized model based on GANs but with modifications and enhancements in the loss functions. It introduces style classification loss and style ambiguity loss, aiming to better facilitate the model's understanding and learning of artistic styles, thereby generating more unique and innovative works.

The mechanism of style classification loss assists the model in better understanding the differences and characteristics between various styles, allowing the generated works not only to imitate a single style but also to better blend multiple styles to create new artistic expressions. Simultaneously, the style ambiguity loss mechanism aids in blurring and expanding style boundaries, encouraging the model to boldly experiment with new artistic elements and style combinations during the creation process, thereby enhancing the innovation and uniqueness of the generated works.

This improved GAN model has garnered significant attention in the field of art design. The CAN system brings forth more possibilities and space for AI in artistic creation. It no longer simply imitates existing styles and works but demonstrates greater flexibility in creating novel and unique artistic pieces. The introduction of this Creative Adversarial Network System represents a significant breakthrough in the domain of AI-driven art design, infusing AI systems with more creativity and uniqueness (Cetinic & She, 2022).

However, the Creative Adversarial Network System also faces some challenges and limitations. Firstly, while modifying the GAN loss functions partly addresses the issue of imitative creation, genuinely understanding creativity and originality remains a complex and ongoing challenge. Secondly, the training and optimization of the CAN system require more significant computational resources and time, potentially limiting its application in certain scenarios.

Nonetheless, the introduction of the Creative Adversarial Network System brings new hope and direction to the field of AI art design. It represents an exploration and attempt to enhance the capabilities of AI in artistic creation. In the future, with continuous technological advancements and algorithm optimizations, the Creative Adversarial Network System is poised to become a vital tool in AI art design, injecting more innovation and uniqueness into artistic creation. This groundbreaking progress signifies the potential of AI in the realm of artistic creation, showcasing the limitless possibilities for the future of AI art design.

3. The Emotional Landscape in AI Art Design

Emotions play a crucial role in art (Roeser et al., 2020). Artists often regard emotions as the soul of art because they serve as the bridge to express and convey the true meaning behind artistic works. Many masters like Rodin, Tolstoy, Susan Rothenberg, and Picasso emphasize the paramount importance of emotions in art creation. However, in the current era of weak artificial intelligence, AI still lacks in expressing emotions.

Saini et al. (2021) stress that emotions stem from reflections based on reality. The emotions artists express reflect and convey their experiences and perceptions of the real world. However, AI lacks direct experiential capabilities. The emotions conveyed in its creations are derived from a limited database and cannot experience emotions firsthand. While AI can summarize some aesthetic experiences, the essence and depth of emotions can only be truly felt through personal experiences.

At this stage, AI's art-making process is more akin to emotionlessly replicating and overlaying symbols (Lyu et al., 2022). AI's creations don't originate from genuine emotional experiences but are based on a combination and simulation of data and information. Though this "replication" lacks originality, genuine emotions, innovation, and imagination, it doesn't imply that AI art is entirely devoid of value or lacks emotional depth.

The aesthetic acceptance of AI art by humans is an area worth exploring (Bellaiche et al., 2023). While AI artworks lack the depth and authenticity of human emotions, in certain contexts, they might evoke emotional resonance in humans. Viewers can impart new interpretations and meanings to these AI art pieces based on their personal experiences and perceptions. Especially in the intersection of technology and art, AI artworks might initiate a new aesthetic experience, inspiring new thoughts on art.

Although AI cannot autonomously generate emotions, it can simulate emotions using external factors. Luo et al. (2022) found that AI can maintain consistency with emotional content shared with the audience by analyzing news reports, colors, etc., essentially performing an analysis and transfer of human emotions. In AI art design, this emotional transfer manifests in the symbolic imagery of its artworks and the process of artistic reception.

Bell (1914) posited that the essence of art's aesthetics lies in "significant form." In other words, elements constituting art pieces, such as lines, colors, etc., form the aesthetic forms perceived by observers, qualities common to visual art pieces. These elements constituting "significant form" are essentially derived by AI from its learned database. It learns emotional symbols universally accepted by humans, encapsulating human aesthetic emotions and consensus.

When people accept AI art designs, the resonating aesthetic emotions that have already settled within resonate with the emotions conveyed in the artwork. The emotional symbols contained in AI's works have a certain commonality with human aesthetic emotions, resulting in aesthetic pleasure during the

process of art appreciation. This resonance isn't just about considering AI's works as an extension of human creation but represents an emotional resonance and identification (Utz & DiPaola, 2020). Although AI lacks genuine emotional experiences, the emotions it conveys through symbols and forms to some extent overlap with human aesthetic emotions. This emotional resonance might not originate from the inner emotions of AI's works but more from the viewer's personal aesthetic background and experiences.

People tend to seek familiarity and resonance in AI art designs, a resonance possibly based on the similarity between the emotional symbols in the artwork and human aesthetic emotions (He & Sun, 2021). This similarity might not arise from the genuine emotions within AI's works but more from the psychological perception and emotional experiences of human viewers. Thus, while the emotions contained in AI art are learned from human aesthetic experiences, they can create a resonance in human aesthetic acceptance, leading to aesthetic pleasure.

The expression of emotions isn't the primary goal in AI art design; it's more about the creative demands of human designers and the appreciation requirements of recipients (Chiarella et al., 2022). The convergence of AI towards genuine human essence has been a pursuit in AI technology. AI strives to mimic expressions similar to humans by extensively learning from artworks, summarizing artistic rules, and emulating artistic expressions. However, AI's inherent genetic structure, dominated by high rationality and strong logic, limits the emotional expression in AI art design, unable to achieve the depth and richness of human emotional expression.

Despite the limitations in AI's emotional expression, it has profoundly impacted the existing art design ecosystem (Chen et al., 2020). On one hand, the rise of AI poses a risk of unemployment for some technologically deficient human designers whose work is impacted by AI technology. On the other hand, AI inspires human designers with creative ideas and provides adequate technical support and equipment for art. It brings new media and means to art design, propelling the transformation of traditional art design. Simultaneously, the emergence of AI compels the art design field to continually strive for improvement, seeking the integration of technology and art, fostering the benign development of art design and art studies.

Under the impact of AI, some traditional human designers face job displacement (Mikalonytė & Kneer, 2022). The development of AI implies that some design tasks with high repetition and low technical requirements might be replaced by automation. This phenomenon prompts people to rethink the positioning and role of humans in creative design, emphasizing the irreplaceability of human creativity, imagination, and emotional experience in artistic creation.

However, the emergence of AI also brings new opportunities for human designers. It provides more possibilities and a broader platform for creativity (Hitsuwari et al., 2023). AI is not just a tool but a partner that stimulates creativity and provides technical support. AI's algorithmic and data processing capabilities offer human designers unprecedented creative inspiration and technical



support, enabling them to more efficiently realize their creativity and ideas. This development and application of technology drive continual innovation and progress in the field of art design, promoting the convergence of technology and art, bringing forth more possibilities and prospects for the art design field.

With the continuous development of AI technology, collaborative creation between humans and AI might become more prevalent. AI's emergence inspires people to contemplate the integration of technology and art, presenting both challenges and opportunities for art design. The symbiotic relationship between humans and AI will likely become an important direction for the future development of art design, propelling innovation and progress in art design as a whole, steering the entire art field towards a more diverse and vibrant future.

4. Discussion

In the current era, artificial intelligence (AI) remains at a stage of weak AI, capable only of learning and executing specific tasks without autonomous innovative capabilities, let alone creating entirely new artistic styles. AI primarily serves as a tool and medium for assisting art design, yet its potential for the unknown persists. However, with the rapid advancement of technology, the future might witness the advent of strong AI.

In an era of strong AI, it might gain functionalities closer to the human brain, possibly even possessing emotions and a brain-like structure. If AI truly attains emotions and understands sentiments, its impact on the ecosystem of art design would be disruptive. This leap in artificial intelligence might prompt a reevaluation of the essence and definition of art since art isn't merely a fusion of technology and creativity but deeply rooted in human emotions and experiences.

In this scenario, AI might begin creating entirely new artistic styles and forms, no longer confined to mere replication and imitation of existing styles. It might engender a unique form of artistic expression distinct from humans, redefining the boundaries and concepts of art. This transformation could prompt people to reconsider the relationship between humans and technology, as well as the essence of art creation. Art might no longer be exclusively a human domain; AI could potentially become one of the creators of art.

However, despite the potential for AI to become an entity capable of creating and expressing emotions in the future, human creativity and emotional experiences remain uniquely irreplaceable. Art, as a form of emotional expression and inspiration, is deeply embedded in human life and emotional experiences. Even if AI achieves emotional expression, it remains simulation-based on programs and data, presenting a significant gap compared to human emotional experiences.

Hence, while AI might potentially alter the landscape of art design in the future, the role and status of human creators remain irreplaceable. The emotions, experiences, and inspirations embedded within human creation of art are unparalleled, beyond the complete replication or replacement by AI. Thus, even in the

era of strong artificial intelligence, the role and value of humans in art design remain indispensable.

Future art design might become a product of mutual creation between humans and AI, where they collaborate and complement each other. This collaborative model might usher in entirely new artistic forms and modes of expression, providing people with more space for thought and exploration. Such a future might represent a fusion of technology, emotions, and creativity, rather than an era solely dominated by either humans or AI.

Author contributions: Conceptualization, WZ and YS; methodology, WZ; software, WZ; validation, WZ and YS; formal analysis, YS; investigation, WZ; resources, WZ; data curation, WZ; writing-original draft preparation, WZ; writing-review and editing, WZ; visualization, YS; supervision, YS; project administration, WZ; funding acquisition, WZ. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Bell, C. (1914). *Art*. New York Publisher.
- Bellaiche, L., Shahi, R., Turpin, M. H., Ragnhildstveit, A., Sprockett, S., Barr, N., ... & Seli, P. (2023). Humans versus AI: whether and why we prefer human-created compared to AI-created artwork. *Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications*, 8(1), 42.
- Bontrager, P. J. (2020). *Learning to Generate Form and Function* [Doctoral dissertation], New York University Tandon School of Engineering.
- Cetinic, E., & She, J. (2022). Understanding and creating art with AI: Review and outlook. *ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications, and Applications (TOMM)*, 18(2), 1-22.
- Chen, W., Shidujaman, M., & Tang, X. (2020). AiArt: towards artificial intelligence art. In *The 12th International Conference on Advances in Multimedia*.
- Chen, W., Shidujaman, M., Jin, J., & Ahmed, S. U. (2020). A methodological approach to create interactive art in artificial intelligence. In *HCI International 2020-Late Breaking Papers: Cognition, Learning and Games: 22nd HCI International Conference, HCII 2020, Copenhagen, Denmark, July 19-24, 2020, Proceedings 22* (pp. 13-31). Springer International Publishing.
- Chiarella, S. G., Torromino, G., Gagliardi, D. M., Rossi, D., Babiloni, F., & Cartocci, G. (2022). Investigating the negative bias towards artificial intelligence: Effects of prior assignment of AI-authorship on the aesthetic appreciation of abstract paintings. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 137, 107406.
- Elgammal, A. (2019). AI is blurring the definition of artist: Advanced algorithms are using machine learning to create art autonomously. *American Scientist*, 107(1), 18-22.
- Guo, C., Lu, Y., Dou, Y., & Wang, F. Y. (2023). Can ChatGPT boost artistic creation: The need of imaginative



- intelligence for parallel art. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 10(4), 835-838.
10. He, C., & Sun, B. (2021). Application of artificial intelligence technology in computer aided art teaching. *Computer-Aided Design and Applications*, 18(4), 118-129.
 11. Hitsuwari, J., Ueda, Y., Yun, W., & Nomura, M. (2023). Does human-AI collaboration lead to more creative art? Aesthetic evaluation of human-made and AI-generated haiku poetry. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 139, 107502.
 12. Hughes, R. T., Zhu, L., & Bednarz, T. (2021). Generative adversarial networks-enabled human-artificial intelligence collaborative applications for creative and design industries: A systematic review of current approaches and trends. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 604234.
 13. Hughes, R. T., Zhu, L., & Bednarz, T. (2021). Generative adversarial networks-enabled human-artificial intelligence collaborative applications for creative and design industries: A systematic review of current approaches and trends. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 4, 604234.
 14. Luo, B., Lau, R. Y., Li, C., & Si, Y. W. (2022). A critical review of state-of-the-art chatbot designs and applications. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 12(1), e1434.
 15. Lyu, Y., Wang, X., Lin, R., & Wu, J. (2022). Communication in human-AI co-creation: Perceptual analysis of paintings generated by text-to-image system. *Applied Sciences*, 12(22), 11312.
 16. Makridakis, S. (2017). The forthcoming Artificial Intelligence (AI) revolution: Its impact on society and firms. *Futures*, 90, 46-60.
 17. Mikalonytė, E. S., & Kneer, M. (2022). Can artificial intelligence make art?: Folk intuitions as to whether AI-driven robots can be viewed as artists and produce art. *ACM Transactions on Human-Robot Interaction (THRI)*, 11(4), 1-19.
 18. Murphy, P. (2022). Writers and Writers of Writers: Creativity and Authorship in the First AI Novel. *Kritikos*, 19, 2023.
 19. Nake, F. (2019). Georg Nees & Harold Cohen: Re-tracing the origins of digital media. In: *Oliver Grau, Janina Hoth, Eveline Wandl-Vogt (Hg.): Digital Art through the Looking Glass*. New strategies for archiving, collecting and preserving in digital humanities. Krems a.d. Donau: Edition Donau-Universität 2019, 27-48.
 20. Nobari, A. H., Rashad, M. F., & Ahmed, F. (2021). *Creativegan: Editing Generative Adversarial Networks for Creative Design Synthesis*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.06242.
 21. Patel, K., Mehta, D., Mistry, C., Gupta, R., Tanwar, S., Kumar, N., & Alazab, M. (2020). Facial sentiment analysis using AI techniques: State-of-the-art, taxonomies, and challenges. *IEEE Access*, 8, 90495-90519.
 22. Rezwana, J., & Maher, M. L. (2023). Designing creative AI partners with COFI: A framework for modeling interaction in human-AI co-creative systems. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 30(5), 1-28.
 23. Roeser, S., Taebi, B., & Doorn, N. (2020). Geoengineering the climate and ethical challenges: What we can learn from moral emotions and art. In *The Ethics of "Geoengineering" the Global Climate* (pp. 230-247). Routledge.
 24. Saini, G. K., Chouhan, H., Kori, S., Gupta, A., Shabaz, M., Jagota, V., & Singh, B. K. (2021). Recognition of human sentiment from image using machine learning. *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, 1802-1808.
 25. Santos, I., Castro, L., Rodriguez-Fernandez, N., Torrente-Patino, A., & Carballal, A. (2021). Artificial neural networks and deep learning in the visual arts: A review. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 33, 121-157.
 26. Shanmuganathan, S. (2016). *Artificial Neural Network Modelling: An introduction* (pp. 1-14). Springer International Publishing.
 27. Utz, V., & DiPaola, S. (2020). Using an AI creativity system to explore how aesthetic experiences are processed along the brain's perceptual neural pathways. *Cognitive Systems Research*, 59, 63-72.