



COMPLEMENT DEGREE POLYNOMIAL OF SOME GRAPH OPERATIONS

By

SAFEERA K.¹, ANIL KUMAR V²

Department of Mathematics University of Calicut Malappuram, Kerala, India 673 635



Article History

Received: 05/10/2024

Accepted: 17/10/2024

Published: 18/10/2024

Vol – 3 Issue – 10

PP: - 60-64

Abstract

$G = (V, E)$ be a simple undirected graph of order n and let $CD(G, i)$ be the set of vertices of degree i in complement graph \bar{G} of G and let $Cd_i(G) = |CD(G, i)|$. Then complement degree polynomial of G is defined as

$$CD[G, x] = \sum_{i \in \delta(\bar{G})} \Delta(\bar{G}) C d_i(G) x^i.$$

In this paper, focus on complement degree polynomial of some graph operations and then derive some properties of this polynomial.

1. Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple undirected graph of order n and let $CD(G, i)$ be the set of vertices of degree i in complement graph \bar{G} of G and let $Cd_i(G) = |CD(G, i)|$. Then complement degree polynomial of G is defined as $CD[G, x] = \Delta(G) \sum_{i \in \delta(\bar{G})} C d_i(G) x^i$ [1].

Let $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ be two graphs, the union $G_1 \cup G_2$ is defined to be $G = (V, E)$ where $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ and $E = E_1 \cup E_2$, the sum $G_1 + G_2$ is defined as $G_1 \cup G_2$ together with all the lines joining points of V_1 to V_2 . A self complementary graph is a graph which is isomorphic to its complement. Let $v \in V(G)$, then neighbourhood of v is defined as $N(v) = \{u : u \text{ is adjacent to } v\}$. The degree of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is denoted by $deg(v)$.

In this paper, we derive complement degree polynomials of some graph operations and complement degree polynomials of some graph operations on path graph (middle graph, line graph, splitting graph, cosplitting graph, derivative of graphs, duplication of a vertex of a graph, total graph and central graph). The path graph is a tree with two nodes of vertex degree 1 and the other nodes of vertex degree 2. A regular graph is a graph where every vertex has the same degree. A regular graph with vertices of degree k is called k -regular graph. Let $v \in V$

(G) , then neighbourhood of v is defined as $N(v) = \{u : u \text{ is adjacent to } v\}$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let G be a graph with order n and $G = G \cup G \dots G$ (m times). Then $CD[G, x] = mx^{(m-1)n} CD[G, x]$.

Proof. Observe that the order of G is mn . Let $v \in V(G)$ and degree of v is d , then $deg(v) = n - 1 - d$ in G . Since v adjacent to each n vertices of $(m - 1)$ copies of G in G and v adjacent to the vertices $V - N(v)$ in G , $deg(v) = n - 1 - d + (m - 1)n$. Since v is an arbitrary vertex, $CD[G, x] = mx^{(m-1)n} CD[G, x]$. This completes the proof. Q

Theorem 2. Let G be a graph with order n and $H = G + G + \dots + G$ (m times). Then $CD[H, x] = mCD[G, x]$.

Proof. Note that each vertex of H is adjacent to all vertices of $(m - 1)$ copies of G . Therefore, each vertex $v \in V(mG)$ is adjacent to all vertices $V - N(v)$. It follows that for each i , $Cd_i(H) = mCd_i(G)$. Hence $CD[H, x] = mCD[G, x]$. This completes the proof. Q

The coronagraph $G \odot H$ of two graphs G and H is defined as the graph obtained by taking one copy of G and $V(G)$ copies of H and joining the i^{th} vertex of G to every

vertex in the i^{th} copy of H .

$CD[G \circ H, x] = x^{(n-1)m}CD[G, x] + nx^{(n-1)(m+1)}CD[H, x]$. *Proof.* Let G be a graph of order n and H be a graph of order m . Note that each vertex of G adjacent to m vertices of one copy of H . Let $v \in V(G)$ then v adjacent to $V(G) - N(v)$ vertices in G and $(n-1)m$ vertices of $(n-1)$ copy of H in $G \circ H$. Therefore, $deg(v) = deg(v)$ in $G + (n-1)m$ in $G \circ H$. Similarly, it is in the i copy of H , then u adjacent to $N(u)$ vertices in H and i^{th} vertex in G . Therefore, u adjacent to $V(H) - N(u)$ vertices in i^{th} copy of H , m vertices of $n-1$ copies of H and $n-1$ vertices of G . That is, $deg(u) = (deg(u)$ in $H) + m(n-1) + (n-1) = (deg(u)$ in $H) + (n-1)(m+1)$. Therefore, $CD[G \circ H, x] = x^{(n-1)m}CD[G, x] + nx^{(n-1)(m+1)}CD[H, x]$. This completes the proof. Q

Theorem 4. If G be a graph having two components G_1 and G_2 with n and m vertices respectively, then

$$CD[G, x] = \frac{\sum_{i=\delta(G_1)} \Delta(G_1)}{\Delta(G_1)} Cd(G_1)x^{im} + \frac{\sum_{i=\delta(G_2)} \Delta(G_2)}{\Delta(G_2)} Cd(G_2)x^{in}$$

Proof. Let $v \in V(G_1)$ and $u \in V(G_2)$ be the vertices of G with degree d_1 and d_2 respectively. Since v is adjacent to $n-1-d_1$ vertices in G_1 , then v is adjacent to $n-1-d_1+m$ vertices in G . Therefore, $deg(v) = n-1-d_1+m$ in G . Similarly $deg(u) = n-1-d_2+n$ in G . Since v and u are arbitrary vertices in G_1 and G_2 respectively, then degree of any vertex v in G_1 is $(deg(v)$ in $G_1) + m$ in G . Similarly degree of any vertex u in G_2 is $(deg(u)$ in $G_2) + n$ in G . Hence the result follows. Q

Corollary 5. Let G be a graph having m components G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m where $|V(G_i)| = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then

$$CD[G, x] = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\Delta(G_k)}{\Delta(G_k)} Cd(G_k) x^\alpha, \text{ where } \alpha = (i + \sum_{r=1}^m n_r)$$

Proof. The proof follows from theorem using mathematical induction on the number of components m of G .

The Mycielski graph, $\mu(G)$ of a graph G contains G itself as an isomorphic subgraph together with $n+1$ additional vertices; a vertex u_i corresponding to each vertex v_i of G and another w . Each u_i is connected by an edge to w and for each edge $v_i v_j$ of G , $\mu(G)$ includes two additional edges $v_i u_j$ and $u_i v_j$.

Theorem 6. If G is a graph with n vertices, then we have $CD[\mu(G), x] = x^2 CD[G, x^2] + x^n CD[G, x] + x^n$.

Proof. Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, w$ be the vertices of $\mu(G)$ (where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of G , u_i corresponding to each v_i and w is the vertex adjacent to each $u_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Note that each v_i adjacent to $2(V(G) - N(v_i))$ vertices, u_i and w in $\mu(G)$. That is if $deg(v_i) = d$ in $\mu(G)$, then $deg(v_i) = 2(n-1-d) + 2$ in $\mu(G)$. Similarly each u_i adjacent to $V(G) - N(v_i)$ vertices, $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_n$ and v_i . That is $deg(u_i) = (n-1-d) + n-1 + 1 = n-1-d+n$ in $\mu(G)$. Finally the vertex w adjacent to v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n in

$\mu(G)$. That is $deg(w) = n$ in $\mu(G)$. Therefore, $CD[\mu(G), x] = x^2 CD[G, x^2] + x^n CD[G, x] + x^n$. This completes the proof. Q

Theorem 7. The shadowgraph $Sh(G)$ of a graph G is obtained by taking two copies of G , say G_1 and G_2 and joining each vertex of G_1 to the neighbors of the corresponding vertex of G_2 .

Theorem 7. If G is a graph with n vertices, the complement degree poly-nomial of the shadowgraph of G is given by $CD[Sh(G), x] = 2x CD[G, x^2]$.

Proof. Let G_1 and G_2 be the two copies of G in $Sh(G)$ and let $v \in G_1$ and $deg(v) = d$ in G , then v adjacent to $V(G_1) - N(v), V(G_2) - N(v)$ and v adjacent to G_2 in $Sh(G)$. That is degree of v in $Sh(G)$ is $n-1+d+n-1+d+1 =$

$2(n-1-d)+1$. It follows that $CD[Sh(G), x] = 2x CD[G, x^2]$. This completes the proof.

Duplication of a vertex v of a graph G is the graph denoted by G^j obtained by adding a vertex v^j in G with $N(v) = N(v^j)$.

Theorem 8. If $n \geq 1$, we have the following

$$CD[K_n^j, x] = 2x + (n-1)$$

Proof. Let $v \in V(K_n)$ and $v^j \in V(K_n^j)$ where v^j be the duplicate vertex of v . Then v^j adjacent to all vertices in K_n other than v . Thus v^j adjacent to only the vertex v in K_n^j . Similarly v adjacent to only v^j in K_n^j . All other $n-1$ vertices are isolated vertices in K_n^j . Therefore, $CD[K_n^j, x] = 2x + (n-1)$. This completes the proof. Q

Theorem 9. If V_1 and V_2 are the first and second set of vertices of $K_{m,n}$ with m and n order respectively and v^j is the duplication of a vertex v of $K_{m,n}$ then

$$CD[K_{m,n}^j, x] = \begin{cases} (m+1)x^m + nx^{n-1}, & \text{if } v \in V_1 \\ mx^{m-1} + (n+1)x^n, & \text{if } v \in V_2 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let V_1 and V_2 be the first and second set of vertices of $K_{m,n}$ with m and n order respectively and v^j be the duplication of a vertex v of $K_{m,n}$. Note that $K_{m,n}$ is another complete bipartite graph $K_{m+1,n}$ if $v \in V_1$ or $K_{m,n+1}$ if $v \in V_2$. Therefore,

$$CD[K_{m,n}^j, x] = \begin{cases} (m+1)x^m + nx^{n-1}, & \text{if } v \in V_1 \\ mx^{m-1} + (n+1)x^n, & \text{if } v \in V_2 \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof. Q

A chaplet graph $C_p \bowtie C_q^t$ where $p, q, t \geq 3$ is obtained by taking one point union of t -copies of the cycle C_q and attaching the same to each vertex of the cycle C_p .

Theorem 10.

$$CD[C_p^k, x] = px^{p(q-1)t+p-2t-3} + p(q-1)tx^{p(q-1)t+p-3}.$$

Proof. Let u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p be the vertices of the cycle C_p . For $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ let $u_{1j}, u_{2j}, \dots, u_{kj}, \dots, u_{pj}$ be the vertices of j^{th} copy of the cycle C_p attached to the vertex u_k of C_p . Note that degree of any vertices of C_p other than u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p are 2 in C_p . That is degree of these $p(q-1)t$ vertices are $p(q-1)t + p - 3$ in C_p^k . But degree of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p are $2t + 2$ in C_p^k . Thus degree of u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p are $p(q-1)t - 3$ in C_p^k . Therefore, $CD[C_p^k, x] = px^{p(q-1)t+p-2t-3} + p(q-1)tx^{p(q-1)t+p-3}$. This completes the proof. \square

3. RESULTS ON PATH GRAPHS

Theorem 11. [3] We have,

$$CD[P_n, x] = \begin{cases} 2x^{n-2}, & n = 2 \\ (n-2)x^{n-3} + 2x^{n-2}, & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

The middle graph $M(G)$ of a graph G is the graph in which the vertex set is $V(G) \cup E(G)$ and two vertices are adjacent if and only if either they are adjacent edges of G or one is vertex of G and the other is an edge incident with it.

Theorem 12. We have,

$$CD[M(P_n), x] = \begin{cases} 2x + 1, & n = 2 \\ 2x^{2n-2} + (n-2)x^{2n-3} + 2x^{2n-4} + (n-3)x^{2n-5}, & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $n = 2$, then $M(P_2)$ is a path graph P_3 . Thus $CD[M(P_2), x] = CD[P_3, x] = 2x + 1$. When $n > 2$, since P_n has $n-1$ edges, $M(P_n)$ has $2n-1$ vertices say $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}$ where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of P_n and e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} be the edges of P_n . Note that e_1 adjacent to v_1, v_2 and v_2 . Similarly e_{i-1} adjacent to v_{i-1}, v_i and v_i . Thus $deg(e_1) = deg(e_{n-1}) = 2n - 1 - 3 = 2n - 4$ in $M(P_n)$. Since v_1 and v_n are adjacent to only e_1 and e_{n-1} respectively. Therefore, $deg(v_1) = deg(v_n) = 2n - 2$ in $M(P_n)$. The vertex v_i adjacent to e_{i-1} and e_i , then $deg(v_i) = 2n - 3, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ in $M(P_n)$. The remaining vertices $e_i, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 2$ adjacent to e_{i-1}, e_i, v_i and v_{i+1} , then $deg(e_i) = 2n - 5, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 2$ in $M(P_n)$. Hence the result follows. \square

For a graph G the splitting graph $S(G)$ of graph G is obtained by adding a new vertex v^j corresponding to each vertex v of G such that $N(v) = N(v^j)$.

Theorem 13. If $n \geq 2$, we have the following

$$CD[S(P_n), x] = \begin{cases} 2x + 2x^2, & n = 2 \\ 2x^{2n-2} + nx^{2n-3} + (n-2)x^{2n-5}, & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since for $n = 2$, $S(P_2)$ is another path graph P_4 , then $CD[S(P_2), x] = CD[P_4, x] = 2x + 2x^2$. When $n > 2$, let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ be the vertices of $S(P_n)$ where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of P_n and u_i corresponding to v_i in $S(P_n), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since v_1 and v_n are pendant vertices in P_n , then u_1 and u_n are pendant vertices in $S(P_n)$. Therefore, $deg(u_1) = deg(u_n) = 2n - 2$ in $S(P_n)$. Note that $deg(v_1) = 2, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ in P_n , then $deg(u_i) = 2, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ in $S(P_n)$. Thus $deg(v_i) = 2n - 3, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ in $S(P_n)$. Also $deg(v_1) = deg(v_n) = 2$ in $S(P_n)$, then $deg(v_1) = deg(v_n) = 2n - 3$ in $S(P_n)$. Similarly the $deg(v_i) = 4$ in $S(P_n)$. Then $deg(v_i) = 2n - 5, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ in $S(P_n)$. Therefore, $CD[S(P_n), x] = 2x^{2n-2} + nx^{2n-3} + (n-2)x^{2n-5}$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 14. If G_n be a graph of order n , then $CD[S(G_n), x]$ do not have a constant term.

Proof. Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ be the vertices of $S(G_n)$ where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of G_n and u_i corresponding to v_i in $S(G_n), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Note that u_i not adjacent to $v_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ in $S(G_n)$. Therefore, $\Delta(G) \leq 2n - 2$. This implies that there is no isolated vertex in $S(G_n)$. Thus $CD[S(G_n), x]$ do not have a constant term. This completes the proof. \square

The cosplitting graph $CS(G)$ is the graph obtained from G , by adding a new vertex w_i for each vertex v_i and joining w_i to all vertices which are not adjacent to v_i in G .

Theorem 15. We have

$$CD[CS(P_n), x] = \begin{cases} 2x + 2x^2, & n = 2 \\ nx^{n-1} + 2x^n + (n-2)x^{n+1}, & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since for $n = 2$, $CS(P_2)$ is another path graph P_4 , then $CD[CS(P_2), x] = CD[P_4, x] = 2x + 2x^2$. When $n > 2$, let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ be the vertices of $CS(P_n)$ where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of P_n and u_i corresponding to v_i in $CS(P_n), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $deg(v_i) = n$ in $CS(P_n)$,

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore, $deg(v_i) = n - 1$ in $CS(P_n), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The vertex u_1 adjacent to each $u_i, i = 2, \dots, n$ and v_2 in $CS(P_n)$. Thus $deg(u_1) = n - 1 + 1 = n$ in $CS(P_n)$. Similarly the degree of u_n is n in $CS(P_n)$. The remaining vertices $u_i, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ adjacent to each $u_j, j = 1, \dots, i - 1, i + 1, \dots, n, v_{i-1}$ and v_{i+1} . Therefore, $deg(u_i) = n - 1 + 2 = n + 1, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ in $CS(P_n)$. Hence for $n > 2$, $CD[CS(P_n), x] = nx^{n-1} + 2x^n + (n-2)x^{n+1}$. This completes the proof. \square

The derivative of a graph G is a graph $d(G)$ obtained from G by deleting all the pendant vertices of G .

Theorem 16. If $n \geq 5$, we have the following $CD[d(P_n), x] = CD[P_{n-2}, x]$.

Proof. Note that the derivative of a path graph P_n is again a path graph with $n - 2$ vertices. Thus $CD[d(P_n), x] = CD[P_{n-2}, x]$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 17. If G has no pendant vertices, then $CD[G, x] = CD[d(G), x]$.

Proof. Let G be a graph and G has no pendant vertices then $G \cong d(G)$. Therefore, $CD[G, x] = CD[d(G), x]$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 18. If $n \geq 3$ and v^j is a duplication of the pendant vertex of P_n , then

$$CD[P_n^j, x] = x^{n-3} + (n-3)x^{n-2} + 3x^{n-1}.$$

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of P_n and v^j be the duplication of v_1 , then v_1 is a pendant vertex. That is v_1, v_2 and v^j are pendant vertices of P_n^j . Thus the degree of these 3 vertices is $n - 1$ in P_n^j . Since v_1, v_3 and v^j are adjacent to v_2 , then $deg(v_2) = 3$ in P_n^j . Thus $deg(v_2) = n - 3$ in P_n^j . The other $n - 3$ inner vertices of P_n has degree 2 in P_n^j , then degree of that $n - 3$ vertices are $n - 2$ in P_n^j . Therefore, $CD[P_n^j, x] = x^{n-3} + (n-3)x^{n-2} + 3x^{n-1}$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 19. If $n \geq 4$ and v^j is a duplication of the vertex of P_n which is not a pendant vertex but the neighbor of a pendant vertex, then

$$CD[P_n^j, x] = x^{n-3} + (n-1)x^{n-2} + x^{n-1}.$$

Proof. Let v be the pendant vertex of $P_n, u \in N(v)$ and let v^j be the duplication of u , then P_n^j form the tadpole graph $T_{3, n-2}$. Note that $CD[T_{m, n}, x] =$

$x^{m+n-2} + (m+n-2)x^{m+n-3} + x^{m+n-4}$. Thus $CD[P_n^J, x] = x^{3+n-2-2} + (3+n-2)x^{3+n-2-3} + x^{3+n-2-4} = x^{n-1} + (n-1)x^{n-2} + x^{n-3}$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 20. If $n \geq 5$ and v^j is a duplication of the inner vertex of P_n which is not a neighbor of pendant vertex, then

$$CD[P_n^J, x] = 2x^{n-3} + (n-3)x^{n-2} + 2x^{n-1}.$$

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of P_n and v^j be the duplication of the inner vertex $v_i, i = 3, 4, \dots, n-2$, then $deg(v_{i-1}) = deg(v_{i+1}) = 3$ in P_n^J . Thus $deg(v_{i-1}) = deg(v_{i+1}) = n-3$ in P_n^J . Note that $deg(v_1) = deg(v_n) =$

$n-1$ in P_n^J and the degree of other $n-3$ vertices is $n-2$ in P_n^J including v^j

other than $v_1, v_n, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}$. Therefore, $CD[P_n^J, x] = 2x^{n-3} + (n-3)x^{n-2} + 2x^{n-1}$. This completes the proof.

A graph $L(G)$ is said to be a line graph of an undirected simple graph G if the vertex set of $L(G)$ is in one-one correspondence with the edge set of G and two vertices of $L(G)$ are joined by an edge if and only if the correspondence edge of G are adjacent in G .

Theorem 21. If $n \geq 3$, we have the following

$$CD[L(P_n), x] = \begin{cases} 2x^{n-3}, & n = 3 \\ (n-3)x^{n-4} + 2x^{n-3}, & n \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since the line graph of P_n is another path graph P_{n-1} . Therefore,

$$CD[L(P_n), x] = CD[P_{n-1}, x]. \text{ This completes the proof. } \quad Q$$

Total graph denoted by $T(G)$ of a graph G is a graph in which the set of vertices and edge set of G and any two vertices in $T(G)$ are said to be adjacent if and only if their corresponding elements are either adjacent or incident in G .

Theorem 22. We have,

$$CD[T(P_n), x] = \begin{cases} 3, & n = 2 \\ 2x^{2n-4} + 2x^{2n-5} + (2n-5)x^{2n-6}, & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $T(P_2)$ is C_3 , then $CD[T(P_2), x] = CD[C_3, x] = 3$. When $n \geq 3$, let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}$ be the vertices of $T(P_n)$ where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of P_n and e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} be the edges of P_n . Since v_1 adjacent to v_2 and e_1 in $T(P_n)$, $deg(v_1) = 2n-4$ in $T(P_n)$. Similarly $deg(v_n) = 2n-4$ in $T(P_n)$. Note that e_1 adjacent to v_1, v_2 and e_2 , then $deg(e_1) = 2n-5$ in $T(P_n)$. Similarly $deg(e_{n-1}) = 2n-5$ in $T(P_n)$. The degree of remaining vertices $v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, e_2, \dots, e_{n-2}$ are 4 in $T(P_n)$,

then degree of these $2n-5$ vertices are $2n-6$ in $T(P_n)$. Therefore, for $n \geq 3$, $CD[T(P_n), x] = 2x^{2n-4} + 2x^{2n-5} + (2n-5)x^{2n-6}$. This completes the proof.

The central graph denoted by $C(G)$ of a graph G is the graph obtained by subdividing each edge of G exactly once and joining all the non-adjacent vertices of G .

Theorem 23. If $n \geq 2$, we have the following $CD[C(P_n), x] = (n-1)x^{2n-3} + nx^{n-1}$.

Proof. Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}$ be the vertices of $C(P_n)$ where v_1, v_2

\dots, v_n be the vertices of P_n and e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1} be the new vertices for sub-dividing each edge. Since the degree of each new vertices $e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ is 2 in $C(P_n)$, $deg(e_i) = 2n-4$ in $C(P_n)$. Note that v_1 adjacent to v_3, v_4, \dots, v_n and e_1 in $C(P_n)$, that is $deg(v_1) = n-1$ in $C(P_n)$. Then $deg(v_1) = 2n-1-1-(n-1) = n-1$ in $C(P_n)$. Similarly $deg(v_n) = n-1$ in $C(P_n)$. But the remaining vertices $v_i, i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ adjacent to $v_1, \dots, v_{i-2}, v_{i+2}, \dots, v_n, e_{i-1}$ and e_i , that is $deg(v_i) = n-3+2 = n-1$ in $C(P_n)$. Therefore, $deg(v_i) = n-1, i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ in $C(P_n)$. Hence

$$CD[C(P_n), x] = (n-1)x^{2n-3} + 2x^{n-1} + (n-2)x^{n-1} = (n-1)x^{2n-3} + nx^{n-1}. \text{ This completes the proof.}$$

4. RESULTS ON REGULAR GRAPHS

Theorem 24. Let G be the r -regular graph of order n , then

$$CD[S(G), x] = nx^{(2n-1-r)} + nx^{(2n-1-2r)}.$$

Proof. Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ be the vertices of splitting graph $S(G)$ of regular graph G where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of G and u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n be the corresponding vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n . Note that $u_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ adjacent to $n-r$ vertices in $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $n-1$ vertices $u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_n$ in $S(G)$. That is, $deg(u_i) = n-1+n-r = 2n-1-r$ in $S(G)$. But degree of $v_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ in $S(G)$ twice the degree in G . Therefore, degree of

$$\text{each } v_i \text{ is } 2n-1-2r \text{ in } S(G). \text{ Hence } CD[S(G), x] = nx^{(2n-1-r)} + nx^{(2n-1-2r)}. \text{ This completes the proof.}$$

Theorem 25. Let G be an r -regular graph of order n , then

$$CD[CS(G), x] = nx^{n-1}(1+x^r).$$

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of the regular graph G and $w_1, w_2,$

\dots, w_n be the new vertices of $CS(G)$. Let $v_i \in V(G)$. Then $deg(v_i) = n$ in $CS(G)$. This implies that $deg(v) = n-1$ in $CS(G)$. Since each w_i adjacent to $n-r$ vertices in $CS(G)$, we have w_i adjacent to r vertices in $V(G)$ and $n-1$ vertices $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{i-1}, w_{i+1}, \dots, w_n$ in $CS(G)$. That is $deg(w_i) = n-1+r$ in $CS(G)$. Therefore, $CD[CS(G), x] = nx^{n-1} + nx^{n-1+r} = nx^{n-1}(1+x^r)$. This completes the proof.

Q

Theorem 26. If G is a r -regular graph of order n , then

$$CD[G', x] = (n + 1 - r)x^{n-r} + rx^{n-r-1}.$$

Proof. Let G be the r -regular graph of order n and let v' be the duplication of a vertex v in G . Note that v' is adjacent to r vertices in G' . But then v' is adjacent to $n - r$ vertices in G' . Similarly, the vertices in $V - N(v)$ in G are adjacent to $n - r$ vertices in G' . The vertices in $N(v)$ in G are adjacent to r vertices in G and v' . This implies that the degree of vertex in $N(v)$ in G is $r + 1$ in G' . Then the degree of that r vertices is $n - r - 1$ in G' . Therefore, $CD[G', x] = (n + 1 - r)x^{n-r} + rx^{n-r-1}$. This completes the proof.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is funded by council of scientific and industrial research (CSIR).

REFERENCES

1. K.Safeera and V.Anil Kumar, Vertex cut polynomial of graphs, Advances and Applications in Discrete Mathematics 32 (2022),1-12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/0974165822028>.
2. Safeera K and Anil Kumar V., Vertex Cut Polynomial of Some Unary Graph Op-

- erations, Advances and Applications in Discrete Mathematics 37 (2023) ,95-103. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/0974165823014>.
3. Safeera K and Anil Kumar V,Complement Degree Polynomial of Graphs, The Mathematics Student(Communicated).
4. Shikhi.M, A Study on common Neighbor Polynomial of Graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, 2019.
5. P.Dhanya and V. Anil Kumar, The number of Equi-neighbor sets of Graphs, Advances and Applications in Discrete Mathematics, 2024 (Accepted for publication)
6. P.Dhanya and V. Anil Kumar, Equi Neighbor polynomial of some binary graph Operations, Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing,(2024), 119: 23-32.
7. Harary F, Graph Theory, Adison-Wesley.
8. A.M.Anto and P.Paul Hawkins, Vertex Polynomial of Graphs with New Results, Global journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 15,Number 4 2019,469-475.
9. H.P.Patil and R.Pandiya Raj, On the Total graph of Mycielski graphs, central graphs and their covering numbers, Versita Emerging Science Publishers,Pages 361-371(2013)..